## The Discrete Logistic Growth Model

Suppose that $M$ is the maximum size of a population that can be sustained with specific resources and that the relative growth rate is proportional to the difference between $M$ and the current size of the population.

Suppose we denote the size of the population at the beginning of each time interval [ $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{k}}$, $\left.\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{k}+1}\right], \mathrm{k}=0,1,2, \ldots$ by $\boldsymbol{x}_{k}$. For this model we assume that the relative growth rate over the interval $\left(t_{k}, t_{k+1}\right]$ is proportional to $\left(M-x_{k}\right)$. That is
(1) $\frac{x_{k+1}-x_{k}}{x_{k}}=r\left(M-x_{k}\right)$ for some nonzero $r$.

Note that $\frac{x_{k+1}-x_{k}}{x_{k}}=r M\left(1-\frac{x_{k}}{M}\right)$ and substituting $k$ for $r M$ we have the following form equivalent to (1).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{x_{k+1}-x_{k}}{x_{k}}=k\left(1-\frac{x_{k}}{M}\right) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

A very clever change of variables, $x_{n}=[(k+1) / k] \mathrm{M} p_{n}$ and $b=k+1$, allows us to transform equation (2) into the form
(3) $\quad p_{n+1}=b p_{n}\left(1-p_{n}\right) .($ Exercise 4)

What do we gain and what do we loose as we move from considering equation (1) to considering equation (3)?

Graphs for equations (2) and (3) where $x_{0}=10, M=100$, and $k=0.4$ are shown below. Here $p_{0}=0.029$ and $b=1.4$.

The solutions of equation (2) and (3) depend on $x_{0}, k, M$ and $x_{0}$ and $b$ respectively. In the graphs below we see that the equations display quite different behavior for different values of the parameters.



## The Continuous Logistic Growth Model

$M$ is again the maximum size of a population that can be sustained and the relative growth rate is $k(1-x / M)$ where $x$ is the current size of the population. The predicted population size $x$ is given by the solution for the differential equation
(4) $\frac{1}{x} \frac{d x}{d t}=k\left(1-\frac{x}{M}\right), t \geq 0, x(0)=x_{0}$

The solution can be shown to be
(5) $\quad x(t)=\frac{x_{0} M}{x_{0}+\left(M-x_{0}\right) e^{(-k t)}}, t \geq 0$ (Exercise 3.)

Since the solution in the continuous case is monotonic increasing, the predictions based on the discrete model differ significantly from those based on the continuous model.

The graphs below display the behavior of the continuous model for some different values of the parameter $\boldsymbol{k}$. In each case $\boldsymbol{x}_{0}=\mathbf{1 0}$ and $M=100$.


Returning to our discussion of the discrete logistic model for notational simplicity we follow the lead of Maki and Thompson and revert to using lower case letters $\boldsymbol{x}$ for population size and write equation (3) as
(6) $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}=b x_{n}\left(1-x_{n}\right), \mathrm{n}=0,1,2, \ldots$

Note that in equation (6) $\boldsymbol{x}$ has a different meaning than in equation (2). (Explain)
We now consider the effect of different values of $x_{0}$ and $b$ on the behavior of the solutions of equation (6).

What will occur if $x_{0}$ is greater than $M$ in equation (2)?


Consider a few more parameter changes.

| $\mathrm{x}(0)=$ | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{b}=$ | 3.50 | 2.00 | 0.50 |
|  | A | B | C |
| n | $\mathbf{x ( n )}$ | $\mathbf{x ( n )}$ | $\mathbf{x ( n )}$ |
| 0 | 0.200 | 0.200 | 0.200 |
| 1 | 0.560 | 0.320 | 0.080 |
| 2 | 0.862 | 0.435 | 0.037 |
| 3 | 0.415 | 0.492 | 0.018 |
| 4 | 0.850 | 0.500 | 0.009 |
| 5 | 0.446 | 0.500 | 0.004 |
| 6 | 0.865 | 0.500 | 0.002 |
| 7 | 0.409 | 0.500 | 0.001 |
| 8 | 0.846 | 0.500 | 0.001 |
| 9 | 0.456 | 0.500 | 0.000 |
| 10 | 0.868 | 0.500 | 0.000 |
| 11 | 0.400 | 0.500 | 0.000 |
| 12 | 0.840 | 0.500 | 0.000 |
| 13 | 0.470 | 0.500 | 0.000 |
| 14 | 0.872 | 0.500 | 0.000 |
| 15 | 0.391 | 0.500 | 0.000 |
| 16 | 0.833 | 0.500 | 0.000 |
| 17 | 0.486 | 0.500 | 0.000 |
| 18 | 0.874 | 0.500 | 0.000 |
| 19 | 0.385 | 0.500 | 0.000 |
| 20 | 0.828 | 0.500 | 0.000 |
| 21 | 0.497 | 0.500 | 0.000 |
| 22 | 0.875 | 0.500 | 0.000 |
| 23 | 0.383 | 0.500 | 0.000 |
| 24 | 0.827 | 0.500 | 0.000 |



