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Abstract. Let \( IG_{k,2n+1} \) be the odd symplectic Grassmannian. It is a quasi-homogeneous space with homogeneous-like behavior. A very limited description of curve neighborhoods of Schubert varieties in \( IG_{k,2n+1} \) was used by Mihalcea and the second named author to prove an (equivariant) quantum Chevalley rule. In this paper we give a full description of the irreducible components of curve neighborhoods in terms of the Hecke product of (appropriate) Weyl group elements, \( k \)-strict partitions, and BC-partitions. The latter set of partitions respect the Bruhat order with inclusions. Our approach follows the philosophy of Buch and Mihalcea’s curve neighborhood calculations of Schubert varieties in the homogeneous cases.

1. Introduction

The degree \( d \) curve neighborhood of a subvariety \( V \subset X \) is the closure of the union of all degree \( d \) rational curves through \( V \). Curve neighborhoods were introduced in [BCMP13] to prove finiteness of quantum \( K \)-theory for \( X \) a cominuscule homogeneous space. Let \( IG_{k,2n+1} \) be the odd symplectic Grassmannian. In [MS19] an explicit description of curve neighborhoods of Schubert varieties in \( IG_{k,2n+1} \) is limited to the \( d = 1 \) case for irreducible components of “expected dimension”. In this paper we give a full description of the irreducible components of the degree \( d \) curve neighborhood of any Schubert variety of \( IG_{k,2n+1} \). A key argument is the description of the odd symplectic Grassmannian as a horospherical variety, see [Pas09]. Namely, \( IG_{k,2n+1} \) can be endowed with an action of the symplectic group \( Sp_{2n} \), which decomposes it into three orbits. Out of these three orbits two are closed and isomorphic to the ordinary (even) symplectic Grassmannians \( IG_{k,2n} \) and \( IG_{k-1,2n} \), whose curve neighborhoods play a crucial role in our results.

Let \( E := \mathbb{C}^{2n+1} \) be an odd-dimensional complex vector space and \( 1 \leq k \leq n + 1 \). An odd symplectic form \( \omega \) on \( E \) is a skew-symmetric bilinear form with kernel of dimension 1. The odd symplectic Grassmannian \( IG := IG_{k,E} \) parametrizes \( k \)-dimensional linear subspaces of \( E \) which are isotropic with respect to \( \omega \). One can find vector spaces \( F \subset E \subset \tilde{E} \) such that \( \text{dim} F = 2n \), \( \text{dim} \tilde{E} = 2n + 2 \), the restriction of \( \omega \) to \( F \) is non-degenerate, and \( \omega \) extends to a symplectic form (hence non-degenerate) on \( \tilde{E} \). Then the odd symplectic Grassmannian is an intermediate space

\[(1) \quad IG(k - 1,F) \subset IG_{k,E} \subset IG_{k,\tilde{E}},\]

sandwiched between two symplectic Grassmannians. This and the more general odd symplectic partial flag varieties have been studied in [Mih07, Pec13, GPPS19, Pas09, MS19, LMS19]. In particular, Mihai showed that \( IG_{k,E} \) is a smooth Schubert variety in \( IG_{k,\tilde{E}} \), and that it admits an action of Proctor’s odd symplectic group \( Sp_{2n+1} \) (see [Pro88]). If \( k \neq n + 1 \) then the odd symplectic group acts on \( IG_{k,E} \) with 2 orbits, and the closed orbit can be identified with \( IG_{k - 1,F} \). If \( k = 1 \) then \( IG_{1,E} = \mathbb{P}(E) \) and if \( k = n + 1 \)
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then $\text{IG}(n+1,E)$ is isomorphic to the Lagrangian Grassmannian $\text{IG}(n,F)$. Since $\text{IG}$ is a Schubert variety in the symplectic Grassmannian $\text{IG}(k, \tilde{E})$ it follows that the (equivariant) fundamental classes of those Schubert varieties $X(u) \subset \text{IG}(k, \tilde{E})$ included in $\text{IG}$ form a basis for the cohomology ring $H^*(\text{IG})$; we call this the Schubert basis.

The odd symplectic group $\text{Sp}_{2n+1}$ acts on $\text{IG}$ with two orbits. There is a closed orbit $Z$ and an open orbit $X^\circ$. The symplectic group $\text{Sp}_{2n}$ acts on $\text{IG}$ with three orbits. There are two closed orbits $Y$ and $Z$ and an open orbit $U$. The orbit $Z$ is isomorphic to $\text{IG}(k-1, 2n)$ and the orbit $Y$ is isomorphic to $\text{IG}(k, 2n)$. Also, $X^\circ = Y \cup U$.

We now discuss curve neighborhoods. Let $X$ be a smooth variety. Let $d \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ be an effective degree. Recall that the moduli space of genus 0, degree $d$ stable maps with two marked points $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,2}(X, d)$ is endowed with two evaluation maps $\ev_i: \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,2}(X, d) \to X$, $i = 1, 2$ which evaluate stable maps at the $i$-th marked point.

Let $\Omega \subset X$ be a closed subvariety. The curve neighborhood of $\Omega$ is the subscheme
\[
\Gamma_d(\Omega) := \ev_2(\ev_1^{-1}(\Omega)) \subset X
\]
endowed with the reduced scheme structure.

It is natural to first work out curve neighborhood calculations of Schubert varieties for the symplectic Grassmannian $\text{IG}(k-1, 2n)$ since it is isomorphic to the closed orbit $Z$. These calculations are worked out in [SW20] in the context of calculating minimum quantum degree that appears in the quantum product. The results from the paper that are relevant to this manuscript are stated in Lemma 7.1 and Lemma 7.2.

We review the philosophy that Buch and Mihalcea used in [BM15] to calculate curve neighborhoods in the homogeneous case. It was shown in [BCMP13] that curve neighborhoods of Schubert varieties in the homogeneous space $G/P$ are irreducible. Thus, $\Gamma_d(X(id)) = X(z_d)$ where $z_d$ is given by a greedy recursive formula in terms of Weyl group elements, roots, and the Hecke product. To compute any curve neighborhoods of a Schubert variety in $G/P$ it suffices to consider the Schubert point $id$ by considering the action of $G$. That is, $\Gamma_d(X(u)) = X(u \cdot z_d)$ where $u$ is a Weyl group element and $\cdot$ is the Hecke product defined in Subsection 2.6.

A similar situation occurs in $\text{IG}$ by considering the horospherical action of $\text{Sp}_{2n}$. There is a point in the orbit $Y$ corresponding to the Schubert point of $\text{IG}(k, 2n)$, which we call $id_Y$. The Schubert point $id$ of $\text{IG}$ corresponds to the Schubert point of the orbit $Z$. For the calculation of curve neighborhoods in $\text{IG}$ it suffices to consider the two points $id_Y$ and $id$. We explain this next.

First we discuss the case when a Schubert variety intersects the open orbit $X^\circ$. In Proposition 4.5 we prove that the curve neighborhood $\Gamma_d(X(w))$ of any Schubert variety $X(w) \subset \text{IG}$ where $X(w) \cap X^\circ \neq \emptyset$ (equivalently, $X(w) \cap Y \neq \emptyset$) is irreducible. Then
\[
\Gamma_d(X(id_Y)) = X(id_Y \cdot_k O(d))
\]
where the element $O(d)$ is recursively defined. Furthermore, $O(d)$ corresponds to the element $z_d$ in $\text{IG}(k, 2n)$. Using the horospherical action of $\text{Sp}_{2n}$ it follows that
\[
\Gamma_d(X(w)) = X(w \cdot_k O(d))
\]
where $w$ is an appropriate Weyl group element and $X(w) \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ and $\cdot_k$ is the modified Hecke product defined in Subsection 2.6.

Now we will discuss the case when a Schubert variety is contained in the closed orbit $Z$. There are two possibilities for the behavior of the degree $d$ rational curves that contain the Schubert point $id$. The first case is to consider the closure of the curves that are in $Z$. Since $Z$ is isomorphic to $\text{IG}(k-1, 2n)$ the union of those curves is a Schubert variety.
This Schubert variety is given by $X(O_Z(d))$ where the element $O_Z(d)$ is recursively defined. Furthermore, $O_Z(d)$ corresponds to the element $z_d$ in $IG(k - 1, 2n)$. The second case is to consider the closure of the curves that are intersect $Y ∪ U$. In the manuscript we show that this is also a Schubert variety. This Schubert variety is given by $X(O_Z(d_1) · O_Y(1) · k O^2(d_2))$ where $d_1 + d_2 + 1 = d$ and $X(O_Y(1))$ is the irreducible component of $Γ_1(X(id))$ that intersects the open orbit $X°$. So we have that

$Γ_{d_1 + d_2 + 1}(X(id)) = Γ_{d_2 + 1}(X(O_Z(d_1))) = X(O_Z(d_1) · O_Y(1) · k O^2(d_2)) ∪ X(O_Z(d_1 + d_2 + 1))$.

Once again using the horospherical action of $Sp(2n)$, if $X(w) ⊂ Z$ then it follows that

$Γ_{d_1 + d_2 + 1}(X(w)) = Γ_{d_2 + 1}(X(w · O_Z(d_1))) = X(w · O_Z(d_1) · O_Y(1) · k O^2(d_2)) ∪ X(w · O_Z(d_1 + d_2 + 1))$.

**Remark 1.1.** There are cases where $X(w · O_Z(d_1 + d_2 + 1)) ⊂ X(w · O_Z(d_1) · O_Y(1) · k O^2(d_2))$.

### 1.1. Statement of results in terms of partitions

In this paper we give a full description of the irreducible components of curve neighborhoods in terms of Hecke product of (appropriate) Weyl group elements, $k$-strict partitions, and BC-partitions. The latter set of partitions respect the Bruhat order with inclusions. Next, we will discuss the main results of this manuscript in terms of the two sets of partitions.

The Schubert varieties of $IG$ are indexed by two sets of partitions. The first is the set $BKT(k, 2n + 1)$ of $(n - k)$-strict partitions given as follows.

**BKT**$(k, 2n + 1) = \{(2n + 1 - k ≥ λ_1 ≥ ⋯ ≥ λ_k ≥ -1) | λ$ is $(n - k)$-strict, $λ_k = -1 \implies λ_1 = 2n + 1 - k\}$.

The number of parts of partitions in the set $BKT(k, 2n + 1)$ is the codimension of the corresponding Schubert varieties, however, in that set partition inclusion is not compatible with the Bruhat order.

Next we define BC-partitions, introduced in [SW20] as an alternative to $k$-strict partitions. The advantage of this set of partitions is that the Bruhat order corresponds to inclusion of the Young diagrams; the drawback is that codimension can no longer be readily computed by summing the parts of the partition. We call these collection of partitions BC-partitions.$^1$

To define these new partitions we first recall the encoding of partitions using 01-words. Let $λ ∈ Part(k, N)$ be a partition. The boundary of this partition consists of $N$ steps, either horizontal or vertical, going from the northeast corner of the $k × (N - k)$ rectangle to its southwest corner. The total number of vertical steps is $k$. We associate to $λ$ a 01-word, denoted by $D(λ)$, as follows: if the $i$-th step is horizontal we set $D(λ)(i) = 0$, otherwise $D(λ)(i) = 1$.

Let $BC(k, 2n + 2)$ denote the set of partitions $λ ∈ Part(k, 2n + 2)$ such that if $D(λ)(i) = D(λ)(2n + 3 - i)$ for some $1 ≤ i ≤ n + 1$, then $D(λ)(i) = 0$. The Schubert varieties in $IG(k, 2n + 1)$ are indexed by the following set of partitions $BC(k, 2n + 1) := \{λ : λ + 1^k ∈ BC(k, 2n + 2)\}$.

Let $I ∈ \{BC(k, 2n + 1), BKT(k, 2n + 1)\}$. For any $λ ∈ I$ there is a corresponding Weyl group element $w ∈ W^{odd}$ that indexes the same Schubert variety. We use $λ^{O^{x}(d)}$, $λ^{O{Y}(d)}$, and $λ^{O{k}(d)}$ to denote the partition in $I$ that corresponds to $w · O_Z(1)$, $w · O_Y(d)$, and $w · k O^2(d)$, respectively. These are defined in Section 5.

$^1$The “BC” comes from the fact that this set of partitions is “Bruhat Compatible” for isotropic Grassmannians in Types B and C.
Next we will set up notation to describe the irreducible components of the curve neighborhoods. First, let \( \ell_d^t(\lambda) = \# \{ j \mid \lambda_j > i \text{ and } j > d \} \). The next definition gives the sets of partitions that correspond to curve neighborhoods with two irreducible components.

**Definition 1.2.** We will define two sets.

1. The first is for BC-partitions.
   
   \[
   \text{Comp}_{BC}(k, 2n + 1)(d) := \{ \lambda \in BC(k, 2n + 1) \mid \lambda_1 = 2n + 1 - k, \lambda_{d+1} - \ell_{d-1}^d(\lambda) - d = 2(n + 1 - k) \};
   \]

2. The second is for \((n - k)\)-strict partitions.
   
   \[
   \text{Comp}_{BKT}(k, 2n + 1)(d) := \{ \lambda \in BKT(k, 2n + 1) : \lambda_1 = 2n + 1 - k, \lambda_2^{OZ(d-1)} - \ell_{d-1}^2(\lambda^{OZ(d-1)}) = 2(n + 1 - k) \}.
   \]

We will now state the main theorem of the article. A more precise version of the theorem is given as Theorem 6.2.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let \( I \in \{ BC(k, 2n + 1), BKT(k, 2n + 1) \} \). If \( \lambda \in I \) then

\[
\Gamma_d(X(\lambda)) = \begin{cases} 
X(\lambda^{OZ(d)}) \cup X(\lambda^{OZ(d)}) & \text{if } \lambda \in \text{Comp}_I(d) \\
X(\lambda^{OZ(d)}) & \text{if } X(\lambda) \subset Z, \lambda \notin \text{Comp}_I(d) \\
X(\lambda^{OZ(d)}) & \text{if } X(\lambda) \cap X^o \neq \emptyset.
\end{cases}
\]

Moreover, in the first case \((\lambda \in \text{Comp}_I(d))\), the Schubert varieties \( X(\lambda^{OZ(d)}) \) and \( X(\lambda^{OZ(d)}) \) form two irreducible components.

1.2. **Broader context of results.** Here is a what is known about irreducible curve neighborhood components. Curve neighborhoods in homogeneous \( G/P \) case are irreducible. In [Asl], Aslan shows that the irreducible components of curve neighborhoods in the Affine Flag in Type A have equal dimension. The next example is an example of a curve neighborhood where the (co)dimensions of the irreducible components differ.

**Example 1.4.** Let \( k = 3 \) and \( n = 4 \) and consider the set of partitions \( BKT(k, 2n + 1) \) for this example. Then we have that

\[
\Gamma_1(X(6, 5, -1)) = X(5, 0, 0) \cup X(6, -1, -1).
\]

Moreover, only one component, \( X(6, -1, -1) \), is of “expected” dimension in the sense that its codimension respects the grading of the quantum Chevalley formula \( [X(1)] \cdot [X(\lambda)] \in \text{QH}^*(\text{IG}(k, 2n + 1)) \). That is,

\[
\text{codim}X(1) + \text{codim}X(6, 5, -1) = \text{codim}X(6, -1, -1) + 1 \cdot c_1
\]

where \( c_1 = 2n + 2 - k \) is the coefficient of the first Chern class of \( \text{IG}(k, 2n + 1) \). See [MS19, Proposition 11.3, Theorem 11.7] and [Shi, Example 1.2.4] for further details and examples.
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2. Notations and definitions

2.1. Odd symplectic Grassmannians. Let \( E := \mathbb{C}^{2n+1} \) be an odd-dimensional complex vector space. An odd symplectic form \( \omega \) on \( E \) is a skew-symmetric bilinear form of maximal rank, i.e. with kernel of dimension 1. It will be convenient to extend the form \( \omega \) to a (nondegenerate) symplectic form \( \tilde{\omega} \) on an even-dimensional space \( \tilde{E} \supset E \), and to identify \( E \subset \tilde{E} \) with a coordinate hyperplane \( \mathbb{C}^{2n+1} \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n+2} \).

For that, let \( \{e_1, \ldots, e_{n+1}, e_{n+1}, e_2, e_1\} \) be the standard basis of \( \tilde{E} := \mathbb{C}^{2n+2} \), where \( i = 2n + 3 - i \). Set \( |i| = \min\{i, \bar{i}\} \), and consider \( \tilde{\omega} \) to be the symplectic form on \( \tilde{E} \) defined by
\[
\tilde{\omega}(e_i, e_j) = \delta_{i,\bar{j}} \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq j \leq \tilde{1}.
\]
The form \( \tilde{\omega} \) restricts to the degenerate skew-symmetric form \( \omega \) on
\[
E = \mathbb{C}^{2n+1} = \langle e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_{2n+1} \rangle
\]
such that the kernel \( \ker \omega \) is generated by \( e_1 \). Then
\[
\omega(e_i, e_j) = \delta_{i,\bar{j}} \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq j \leq \tilde{2}.
\]
Let \( F \subset E \) denote the 2n-dimensional vector space with basis \( \{e_2, e_3, \cdots, e_{2n+1}\} \).

If \( 1 \leq k \leq n + 1 \), the odd symplectic Grassmannian \( X := \text{IG}(k, E) \) parametrizes \( k \)-dimensional linear subspaces of \( E \) which are isotropic with respect to \( \omega \). The restriction of \( \omega \) to \( F \) is non-degenerate, hence we can see the odd symplectic Grassmannian as intermediate space
\[
\text{IG}(k - 1, F) \subset \text{IG}(k, E) \subset \text{IG}(k, \tilde{E}),
\]
stocked between two symplectic Grassmannians. This and the more general “odd symplectic partial flag varieties” have been studied by Mihai [Mih07] and Pech [Pec13]. In particular, Mihai showed that \( \text{IG}(k, E) \) is a smooth Schubert variety in \( \text{IG}(k, \tilde{E}) \).

There are two degenerate cases, namely, if \( k = 1 \) then \( \text{IG}(1, E) = \mathbb{P}(E) \) and if \( k = n + 1 \) then \( \text{IG}(n + 1, E) \) is isomorphic to the Lagrangian Grassmannian \( \text{IG}(n, F) \).

2.2. The odd symplectic group. Proctor’s odd symplectic group (see [Pro88]) is the subgroup of \( \text{GL}(E) \) which preserves the odd symplectic form \( \omega \):
\[
\text{Sp}_{2n+1}(E) := \{g \in \text{GL}(E) \mid \omega(g \cdot u, g \cdot v) = \omega(u, v), \forall u, v \in E\}.
\]

Let \( \text{Sp}_{2n}(F) \) and \( \text{Sp}_{2n+2}(\tilde{E}) \) denote the symplectic groups which respectively preserve the symplectic forms \( \omega|_F \) and \( \tilde{\omega} \). Then with respect to the decomposition \( E = F \oplus \ker \omega \) the elements of the odd symplectic group \( \text{Sp}_{2n+1}(E) \) are matrices of the form
\[
\text{Sp}_{2n+1}(E) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & a \\ 0 & S \end{pmatrix} \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*, a \in \mathbb{C}^{2n}, S \in \text{Sp}_{2n}(F) \right\}.
\]

The symplectic group \( \text{Sp}_{2n}(F) \) embeds naturally into \( \text{Sp}_{2n+1}(E) \) by \( \lambda = 1 \) and \( a = 0 \), but \( \text{Sp}_{2n+1}(E) \) is not a subgroup of \( \text{Sp}_{2n+2}(\tilde{E}) \).² Mihai showed in [Mih07, Prop 3.3] that there is a surjection \( P \to \text{Sp}_{2n+1}(E) \) where \( P \subset \text{Sp}_{2n+2}(\tilde{E}) \) is the parabolic subgroup which preserves \( \ker \omega \), and the map is given by restricting \( g \to g|_E \). Then the Borel subgroup

²However, Gelfand and Zelevinsky [GZ84] defined another group \( \tilde{\text{Sp}}_{2n+1} \) closely related to \( \text{Sp}_{2n+1} \) such that \( \text{Sp}_{2n} \subset \tilde{\text{Sp}}_{2n+1} \subset \text{Sp}_{2n+2} \).
\[ B_{2n+2} \subset \text{Sp}_{2n+2}(\mathbb{E}) \] of upper triangular matrices restricts to the (Borel) subgroup \( B \subset \text{Sp}_{2n+1}(E) \). Similarly, the maximal torus
\[ T_{2n+2} := \{ \text{diag}(t_1, \ldots, t_{n+1}, t_{n+1}^{-1}, \ldots, t_1^{-1}) : t_1, \ldots, t_{n+1} \in \mathbb{C}^* \} \subset B_{2n+2} \]
restricts to the maximal torus
\[ T = \{ \text{diag}(t_1, \ldots, t_n, t_{n+1}, \ldots, t_1^{-1}) : t_1, \ldots, t_n \in \mathbb{C}^* \} \subset B. \]
Later on we will also require notation for subgroups of \( \text{Sp}_{2n}(F) \), viewed as a subgroup of \( \text{Sp}_{2n+1}(E) \). We denote by \( B_{2n} \subset B \) the Borel subgroup of upper-triangular matrices in \( \text{Sp}_{2n}(F) \) and by \( T_{2n} \) the maximal torus. We have
\[ T_{2n} = \{ \text{diag}(1, t_1, \ldots, t_n, t_{n+1}, \ldots, t_1^{-1}) : t_1, \ldots, t_n \in \mathbb{C}^* \} \subset B_{2n}. \]
We also denote by \( U_{2n}, U_{2n+2} \) the maximal unipotent subgroups.

For \( 1 \leq k \leq n \), Mihai showed that the odd symplectic group \( \text{Sp}_{2n+1}(E) \) acts on \( X = \text{IG}(k, E) \) with two orbits:
\[ X^\circ = \{ V \in \text{IG}(k, E) \mid e_1 \notin V \} \text{ the open orbit} \]
\[ Z = \{ V \in \text{IG}(k, E) \mid e_1 \in V \} \text{ the closed orbit}. \]
The closed orbit \( Z \) is isomorphic to \( \text{IG}(k-1, F) \). Indeed, since \( F \cap \ker \omega = \{0\} \) it follows that \( \omega \) restricts to a nondegenerate form on \( F \). If \( k = n+1 \) then \( \text{IG}(n+1, E) = Z \) may be identified to the Lagrangian Grassmannian \( \text{IG}(n, F) \).

From now on we will identify \( F \subset E \subset F \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n} \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n+1} \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n+2} \) with the bases \( \langle e_2, \ldots, e_{2n+1} \rangle \subset \langle e_1, \ldots, e_{2n+1} \rangle \subset \langle e_1, \ldots, e_{2n+2} \rangle \) introduced in the previous paragraph. The corresponding isotropic Grassmannians will be denoted by \( \text{IG}(k-1, 2n) \subset \text{IG}(k, 2n+1) \subset \text{IG}(k, 2n + 2) \). Similarly \( \text{Sp}_{2n+1}(E) \) will be denoted by \( \text{Sp}_{2n+1} \) etc.

2.3. **The action of \( \text{Sp}_{2n} \) on \( \text{IG}(k, 2n + 1) \).** The odd symplectic Grassmannian also has an action of the (semisimple) group \( \text{Sp}_{2n} \). This action is **horospherical**, see [Pas09]. This means that the odd symplectic Grassmannian has an open \( \text{Sp}_{2n} \)-orbit, denoted by \( U \) below, which is a torus bundle over a generalized flag variety. Indeed, under the \( \text{Sp}_{2n} \)-action \( X = \text{IG}(k, 2n + 1) \) possesses three orbits
- an open orbit \( U = \{ V \in X \mid V \subset F, e_1 \notin V \} \), isomorphic to a \( (\mathbb{C}^*)^k \)-bundle over \( \text{IG}(k, 2n) \);
- a closed orbit, \( Y = \{ V \in X \mid V \subset F \} \), isomorphic to the symplectic Grassmannian \( \text{IG}(k, 2n) \);
- the closed \( \text{Sp}_{2n+1} \)-orbit \( Z \).

Note that the reunion \( U \cup Y \) is the open \( \text{Sp}_{2n+1} \)-orbit \( X^\circ \).

Denote by \( P_Y, \ P_Z \) the parabolic subgroups of \( \text{Sp}_{2n} \) such that \( Y = \text{Sp}_{2n}/P_Y, \ Z = \text{Sp}_{2n}/P_Z, \) and by \( W_Y, \ W_Z \) the associated Weyl groups, which are subgroups of the Weyl group \( W_{2n} \) of \( \text{Sp}_{2n} \). We also let \( W^Y \) and \( W^Z \) be minimal coset representatives of \( W_{2n}/W_Y \) and \( W_{2n}/W_Z \), respectively.

For later use we recall the construction of \( \text{IG}(k, 2n + 1) \) as a horospherical variety. Denote by \( V_Y \) and \( V_Z \) the irreducible \( \text{Sp}_{2n} \)-representations corresponding to the minimal projective embedding of \( Y \) and \( Z \), respectively, and let \( v_Y \) and \( v_Z \) be the corresponding highest weight vectors. Then
\[ \text{IG}(k, 2n + 1) = \overline{\text{Sp}_{2n} \cdot (v_Y + v_Z)} \subset \mathbb{P}(V_Y \oplus V_Z) \]
Let \( \pi_Z : X_Z \to X \) be the blow-up of \( Z \) in \( X \). It is obtained via base change from the blow-up of \( \mathbb{P}(V_Z) \) in \( \mathbb{P}(V_Y \oplus V_Z) \). In particular there is a natural projection \( p_Y : X_Z \to Y \), obtained as the restriction of the projection of the former blow-up to \( \mathbb{P}(V_Y) \). The projection
\( p_Y : \tilde{X}_Z \to Y \) restricts to a projection \( p_Y : X^o \to Y \), which realises \( X^o \) as a vector bundle over \( Y = IG(k,2n) \). This bundle is \( Sp_{2n} \)-equivariant and thus it is obtained from a \( P_Y \)-representation. We write \( F_Y \) for the corresponding locally free sheaf; it is the normal bundle to \( Y \) in \( X \). The projection \( p_Y : \tilde{X}_Z \to Y \) realises \( \tilde{X}_Z \) as the projective bundle \( \mathbb{P}(F_Y \oplus \mathcal{O}_Y) \). Write \( E \) for the exceptional divisor, which is isomorphic to the incidence variety \( Sp_{2n}/(P_Y \cap P_Z) \). The maps \( q_Y \) and \( q_Z \) from \( E \) to \( Y \) and \( Z \) are the natural projections from \( \tilde{X}_Z \) to \( Sp_{2n}/P_Y \) and \( Sp_{2n}/P_Z \).

We get the commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
E & \xrightarrow{iZ} & \tilde{X}_Z \\
\downarrow{q_Z} & & \downarrow{\pi_Z} \\
Z & \xrightarrow{iZ} & X
\end{array}
\]

2.4. The Weyl group of \( Sp_{2n+2} \) and odd symplectic minimal representatives.

There are many possible ways to index the Schubert varieties of isotropic Grassmannians. Here we recall an indexation using signed permutations.

Consider the root system of type \( C_{n+1} \) with positive roots

\[ R^+ = \{ t_i \pm t_j \ | \ 1 \leq i < j \leq n+1 \} \cup \{ 2t_i \ | \ 1 \leq i \leq n+1 \} \]

and the subset of simple roots

\[ \Delta = \{ \alpha_i := t_i - t_{i+1} \ | \ 1 \leq i \leq n \} \cup \{ \alpha_{n+1} := 2t_{n+1} \} \].

The associated Weyl group \( W \) is the hyperoctahedral group consisting of signed permutations, i.e. permutations \( w \) of the elements \( \{ 1, \ldots, n+1, 1, \ldots, 1 \} \) satisfying \( w(\overline{i}) = w(i) \) for all \( w \in W \). For \( 1 \leq i \leq n \) denote by \( s_i \) the simple reflection corresponding to the root \( t_i - t_{i+1} \) and \( s_{n+1} \) the simple reflection of \( 2t_{n+1} \). Each subset \( I := \{ i_1 < \ldots < i_r \} \subset \{ 1, \ldots, n+1 \} \) determines a parabolic subgroup \( P := P_I \leq Sp_{2n+2}(\tilde{E}) \) with Weyl group \( W_P = \langle s_i \ | \ i \neq i_j \rangle \) generated by reflections with indices not in \( I \). Let \( \Delta_P := \{ \alpha_{i_1} \ | \ i_1 \notin \{ i_1, \ldots, i_r \} \} \) and \( R_P^+ := \text{Span}_\mathbb{Z} \Delta_P \cap R^+ \); these are the positive roots of \( P \). Let \( \ell : W \to \mathbb{N} \) be the length function and denote by \( W_P \) the set of minimal length representatives of the cosets in \( W/W_P \). The length function descends to \( W/W_P \) by \( \ell(uW_P) = \ell(u') \) where \( u' \in W_P \) is the minimal length representative for the coset \( uW_P \). We have a natural ordering

\[ 1 < 2 < \ldots < n + 1 < \overline{n+1} < \ldots < \overline{1}, \]

which is consistent with our earlier notation \( \overline{i} := 2n+3-i \). Let \( P = P_k \) be the maximal parabolic obtained by excluding the reflection \( s_k \). Then the minimal length representatives \( W_P \) have the form \( (w(1) < w(2) < \cdots < w(k)|w(k+1) < \cdots < w(n+1) \leq n+1) \) if \( k < n+1 \) and \( (w(1) < w(2) < \cdots < w(n+1) \leq n+1) \) if \( k = n+1 \). Since the last \( n+1-k \) labels are determined from the first \( k \) ones, we will identify an element in \( W_P \) with the sequence \( (w(1) < w(2) < \cdots < w(k)). \)

**Example 2.1.** The reflection \( s_{t_1+t_2} \) is given by the signed permutation

\[ s_{t_1+t_2}(1) = 2, \ s_{t_1+t_2}(2) = 1, \text{ and } s_{t_1+t_2}(i) = i \text{ for all } 3 \leq i \leq n+1. \]

The minimal length representative of \( s_{t_1+t_2}W_P \) is \((3 < 4 < \cdots < k < 2 < 1). \)
2.5. Schubert Varieties in even and odd symplectic Grassmannians. Recall that the even symplectic Grassmannian $X^{ev} = IG(k, 2n + 2)$ is a homogeneous space $Sp_{2n+2}/P$, where $P = P_k$ is the parabolic subgroup generated by the simple reflections $s_i$ with $i \neq k$. For each $w \in W^P$ let $X^{ev}(w)^\circ := B_{2n+2}wB_{2n+2}/P$ be the Schubert cell. This is isomorphic to the space $\mathbb{C}(w)$. Its closure $X^{ev}(w) := \overline{X^{ev}(w)}$ is the Schubert variety. We might occasionally use the notation $X^{ev}(wW_P)$ if we want to emphasize the corresponding coset, or if $w$ is not necessarily a minimal length representative. Recall that the Bruhat ordering can be equivalently described by $v \leq w$ if and only if $X^{ev}(v) \subset X^{ev}(w)$. Set
\[
w_0 = \begin{cases} (2, 3, \ldots, n+1, 1) & \text{if } i_r < n+1; \\ (1, 2, 3, \ldots, n+1) & \text{if } i_r = n+1; \end{cases}
\]
this is an element in $W$. Recall that the odd symplectic Borel subgroup is $B = B_{2n+2} \cap Sp_{2n+1}$. The following results were proved by Mihai [Mih07, §4].

**Proposition 2.2.** (a) The natural embedding $\iota : X = IG(k, 2n+1) \hookrightarrow X^{ev} = IG(k, 2n + 2)$ identifies $IG(k, 2n + 1)$ with the (smooth) Schubert subvariety $X^{ev}(w_0W_P) \subset IG(k, 2n + 2)$.

(b) The Schubert cells (i.e. the $B_{2n+2}$-orbits) in $X^{ev}(w_0)$ coincide with the $B$-orbits in $IG(k, 2n + 1)$. In particular, the $B$-orbits in $IG(k, 2n + 1)$ are given by the Schubert cells $X^{ev}(w)^\circ \subset IG(k, 2n + 2)$ such that $w \leq w_0$.

To emphasize that we discuss Schubert cells or varieties in the odd symplectic case, for each $w \leq w_0$ such that $w \in W^P$, we denote by $X(w)^\circ$, and $X(w)$, the Schubert cell, respectively the Schubert variety in $IG(k, 2n + 1)$. The same Schubert variety $X(w)$, but regarded in the even symplectic Grassmannian is denoted by $X^{ev}(w)$. For further use we note that $IG(k, 2n + 1)$ has complex codimension $k$ in $IG(k, 2n + 2)$. Further, a Schubert variety $X(w)$ in $IG(k, 2n + 1)$ is included in the closed $Sp_{2n+1}$-orbit $Z$ of if and only if it has a minimal length representative $w \leq w_0$ such that $w(1) = 1$.

Define the set $W^{odd} := \{ w \in W \mid w \leq w_0 \}$ and call its elements odd symplectic permutations. The set $W^{odd}$ consists of permutations $w \in W$ such that $w(j) \neq 1$ for any $1 \leq j \leq n+1$ [Mih07, Prop. 4.16]. We also introduce the subset $W^o$ of odd symplectic permutations $w \in W^{odd}$ such that $w(j) \neq 1$ for any $1 \leq j \leq k$. Permutations in $W^o \cap W^P$ will index Schubert varieties of the open $Sp_{2n+1}$-orbit $X^o$.

Later on we will also require notation for the Schubert varieties of the closed $Sp_{2n}$-orbits $Y$ and $Z$ in $X = IG(k, 2n + 1)$ introduced in Section 2.3. Namely, if $u \in W^Y$ and $v \in W^Z$ we denote by $Y(u)$ and $Z(v)$ the corresponding Schubert varieties of $Y$ and $Z$, respectively. Recall the commutative diagram from 2.3:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
E & \xrightarrow{q_v} & \tilde{X}_Z \\
\downarrow{q_Z} & & \downarrow{\pi_Z} \\
Z & \xrightarrow{i_Z} & X
\end{array}
\]

and consider the varieties $\pi_Z(p_Y^{-1}(Y(u)))$ and $i_Z(Z(v))$. In [GPPS19, Prop. 5.3], it is shown that these varieties coincide with the Schubert basis $X(w)$ of $X$. Here we rephrase this identification in terms of the minimal length representatives introduced in Section 2.4.

**Proposition 2.3.** The odd symplectic permutations $w \in W^o \cap W^P$ indexing Schubert varieties of the open $Sp_{2n+1}$-orbit $X^o$ are in bijection with the elements $u \in W^Y$ indexing
Let \( w = (a_1 < \cdots < a_r < \bar{a}_k < \cdots < \bar{a}_{r+1}) \), then 
\[
\Phi(w) = (a_1 - 1 < \cdots < a_r - 1 < \bar{a}_k - 1 < \cdots < \bar{a}_{r+1} - 1).
\]

Similarly, the odd symplectic permutations \( w \in W^{\text{odd}} \cap W^P \) indexing Schubert varieties of the closed \( \text{Sp}_{2n+1} \)-orbit \( X^o \), i.e., those with \( w(1) = 1 \), are in bijection with the elements \( v \in W^Z \) indexing Schubert varieties of the \( Z \)-orbit, and the bijection is as follows: 
\[
\Phi_Z(w) = (a_2 - 1 < \cdots < a_r - 1 < \bar{a}_k - 1 < \cdots < \bar{a}_{r+1} - 1),
\]
where \( w = (1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_r < \bar{a}_k < \cdots < \bar{a}_{r+1}) \).

In terms of the Schubert varieties themselves we get

- \( X(w) = \pi_Z(p^{-1}_Y(Y(\Phi(w))) \) if \( w \in W^o \cap W^P \);
- \( X(w) = i_Z(Z(\Phi_Z(w))) \) if \( w \in W^{\text{odd}} \cap W^P \) is such that \( w(1) = 1 \).

Proof. This result is a direct consequence of \([\text{GPPS19}, \text{Proposition 5.3}]\), except that here we are indexing Schubert varieties with Weyl group elements instead of \( k \)-strict partitions. \( \square \)

2.6. The Hecke product. The Weyl group \( W \) admits a partial ordering \( \leq \) given by the Bruhat order. Its covering relations are given by \( w < ws_\alpha \) where \( \alpha \in R^+ \) is a root and \( \ell(w) < \ell(ws_\alpha) \). We will use the Hecke product on the Weyl group \( W \). For a simple reflection \( s_i \) the product is defined by

\[
w \cdot s_i = \begin{cases} 
ws_i & \text{if } \ell(ws_i) > \ell(w); \\
w & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]

The Hecke product gives \( W \) a structure of an associative monoid; see e.g. \([\text{BM15}, \text{§3}]\) for more details. Given \( u, v \in W \), the product \( uv \) is called reduced if \( \ell(uv) = \ell(u) + \ell(v) \), or, equivalently, if \( uw = u \cdot v \). For any parabolic group \( P \), the Hecke product determines a left action \( W \times W/W_P \to W/W_P \) defined by

\[
u \cdot (wW_P) = (u \cdot w)W_P.
\]

We recall the following properties of the Hecke product (cf. e.g. \([\text{BM15}]\)).

For later use we introduce a modified Hecke product \( \cdot_k \) on the indexing set \( W^o \) of Schubert varieties of the open orbit \( X^o \) of \( X = IG(k, 2n + 1) \). In this case, we want to multiply by the simple root \( s_k \) whether or not it increases the length of the word. Namely

\[
w \cdot_k s_i = \begin{cases} 
ws_i & \text{if } \ell(ws_i) > \ell(w) \text{ or } i = k; \\
w & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]

Note that the modified Hecke product on \( X^o \) corresponds to the usual Hecke product on the closed \( \text{Sp}_{2n} \)-orbit \( Y \subset X^o \), as showed in the following statement. This fact will be useful in computing curve neighbourhoods of the Schubert varieties of the open orbit \( X^o \).

Proposition 2.4. Recall the map \( \Phi: W^o \cap W^P \to W^Y \) from Proposition 2.3. We define a map \( \psi \) mapping the simple reflections in \( W_{2n} \) to reflections in \( W \) as follows:

\[
\psi(s_i) = \begin{cases} 
s_i & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq k - 1, \\
s_k s_{k+1} s_k & \text{for } i = k, \\
s_{i+1} & \text{for } k + 1 \leq i \leq n.
\end{cases}
\]

Let \( w = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_r} \). Then for any \( v \in W^o \),

\[
\Phi((v \cdot_k \psi(s_{i_1}) \cdots \psi(s_{i_r})) W_P) = (\Phi(vW_P) \cdot w) W_Y.
\]
Proof. We use induction on $r$, the number of reflections in the expression for $w$. Start with $v \in W^\circ$ and $w = s_i$ with $i \neq k$. By definition of $\Phi$ we have $\Phi(vW_P) \in W^Y$, hence $\ell(\Phi(vW_P)s_i) > \ell(\Phi(vW_P))$. We deduce that $(\Phi(vW_P) \cdot w)W_Y = \Phi(vW_P)W_Y$. On the other hand, since $i \neq k$ then $(v \cdot k \psi(s_i))W_P = vW_P$, hence $\Phi((v \cdot k \psi(s_i))W_P) = \Phi(vW_P)W_Y$.

Now assume $w = s_k$ and let $\tilde{v} \in W^\circ \cap W^P$ be the minimal length representative of $v$. We have $\tilde{v}(k + 1) = 1$, and $\Phi(vW_P) = (\tilde{v}(1) - 1 \cdots < \tilde{v}(k) - 1)$. Hence

$$(\Phi(vW_P) \cdot s_k)W_Y = \begin{cases} (\tilde{v}(1) - 1 \cdots < \tilde{v}(k) - 1) & \text{if } \tilde{v}(k) > \tilde{v}(k + 2), \\ (\tilde{v}(1) - 1 \cdots < \tilde{v}(k - 1) - 1 < \tilde{v}(k + 2) - 1) & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand

$$(v \cdot k (s_k s_k+1 s_k))W_P = \begin{cases} (\tilde{v}(1) < \cdots < \tilde{v}(k)) & \text{if } \tilde{v}(k) > \tilde{v}(k + 2), \\ (\tilde{v}(1) < \cdots < \tilde{v}(k - 1) < \tilde{v}(k + 2)) & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

Therefore in any case $\Phi((v \cdot k \psi(s_k))W_P) = (\Phi(vW_P) \cdot s_k)W_Y$. This concludes the proof of the identity for $r = 1$.

Now assume that $\Phi((v \cdot k \psi(s_{i_1}) \cdots \psi(s_{i_r}))W_P) = (\Phi(vW_P) \cdot w)W_Y$ for any $w = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_r}$ and consider $w' = ws_j$. Let $u := v \cdot k \psi(s_{i_1}) \cdots \psi(s_{i_r})$. By definition of the modified Hecke product

$$\Phi((v \cdot k \psi(s_{i_1}) \cdots \psi(s_{i_r}) \psi(s_j))W_P) = \Phi((u \cdot k \psi(s_j))W_P) = (\Phi(uW_P) \cdot s_j)W_Y.$$ 

Here the second equality comes from applying the $r = 1$ case. By induction we have $\Phi(uW_P) = (\Phi(vW_P) \cdot w)W_Y$, hence

$$(\Phi(uW_P) \cdot s_j)W_Y = (\Phi(vW_P) \cdot w) \cdot s_j W_Y = (\Phi(vW_P) \cdot w')W_Y,$$

where the second equality comes from the definition of the Hecke product. This concludes the proof. □

We have a similar result for the closed $\Sp_{2n+1}$-orbit $Z$.

Proposition 2.5. Recall the map

$$\Phi_Z: \{ w \in W^\text{odd} \cap W^P \mid w(1) = 1 \} \to W^Z$$

from Proposition 2.3. Let $w = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_r}$. Then for any $v \in W^\text{odd} \cap W^P$ with $v(1) = 1$,

$$\Phi_Z((v \cdot s_{i_1+1} \cdots s_{i_r+1})W_P) = (\Phi_Z(vW_P) \cdot w)W^Z.$$ 

Proof. We use induction on $r$, the number of reflections in the expression for $w$.

Start with $v \in W^\text{odd} \cap W^P$ with $v(1) = 1$ and $w = s_i$ with $i \neq k - 1$. By definition of $\Phi_Z$ we have $\Phi_Z(vW_P) \in W^Z$, hence $\ell(\Phi_Z(vW_P)s_i) > \ell(\Phi_Z(vW_P))$ since $i \neq k - 1$. We deduce that $(\Phi_Z(vW_P) \cdot s_i)W_Z = \Phi_Z(vW_P)W_Z$. On the other hand, since $i + 1 \neq k$ then $(v \cdot s_{i+1})W_P = vW_P$, hence $\Phi_Z((v \cdot s_{i+1})W_P) = \Phi_Z(vW_P)W_Z$. Now assume $w = s_{k-1}$, then $\ell(\Phi_Z(vW_P)s_{k-1}) < \ell(\Phi_Z(vW_P))$, hence $\Phi_Z(vW_P) \cdot s_{k-1} = \Phi_Z(vW_P)$. But in that case we have $v \cdot s_k = v$, hence we still get $\Phi_Z((v \cdot s_k)W_P) = (\Phi_Z(vW_P) \cdot s_{k-1})W^Z$.

Finally, the proof of the induction step is similar to that in the proof of the induction step in Proposition 2.4. □

We now introduce some special odd symplectic permutations, which will be used to define line neighborhoods in $X = \IG(k, 2n + 1)$, namely

$$O^Z(1) := s_1 \cdots s_{n+1} \cdots s_1 (= s_{2t_1})$$

for the open $\Sp_{2n+1}$-orbit $X^\circ$, and

$$O_Y(1) := s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{k-1} s_{k+1} \cdots s_{n+1} \cdots s_2 s_1$$

and

$$O_Z(1) := s_2 \cdots s_{n+1} \cdots s_2.$$
for the closed $\text{Sp}_2n+1$-orbit $Z$. The following Lemma follows from a direct calculation of the Hecke product. To simplify notation we will distinguish two cases, depending on whether $k < n + 1$ or $k = n + 1$.

**Lemma 2.6.** Assume $k < n + 1$ and let $w = (1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_r < \bar{a}_k < \cdots < \bar{a}_{r+1}) \in W^{\text{odd}} \cap W^P$ and $v = (b_1 < \cdots < b_s < b_k < \cdots < b_{s+1}) \in W^o \cap W^P$, that is, $X(w) \subset Z$ and $X(w) \cap X^o \neq \emptyset$. Then

$$w \cdot O_Y(1) = (w(2) < \cdots < \bar{v}_Y < \cdots < w(k)),$$

$$w \cdot O_Z(1) = (1 < w(3) < \cdots < \bar{v}_Z < \cdots < w(k)),$$

$$v \cdot k O^o(1) = (v(2) < v(3) < \cdots < \bar{v}^o < \cdots < v(k)),$$

where

$$\bar{v}_Y = \min\{2, \ldots, n+1\} \setminus \{a_2, \ldots, a_k\},$$

$$\bar{v}_Z = \min\{2, \ldots, n+1\} \setminus \{a_3, \ldots, a_k\},$$

$$\bar{v}^o = \min\{2, \ldots, n+1\} \setminus \{b_2, \ldots, b_k\}.$$

Note that $\bar{v}_Y, \bar{v}_Z, \bar{v}^o$ are possibly smaller than $w(2), w(3), v(2)$ or larger than $w(k), v(k)$.

Assume $k = n + 1$, in which case $X = Z \cong \text{IG}(n, 2n)$, and let $w = (1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_r < \bar{a}_{n+1} < \cdots < \bar{a}_{r+1})$. Then

$$w \cdot O_Z(1) = (1 < w(3) < \cdots < \bar{v}_Z < \cdots < w(k)),$$

where $\bar{v}_Z$ equals $a_2$ if $r \geq 2$ and $n + 1$ otherwise, and $\bar{v}_Z$ is possibly smaller than $w(3)$ or possibly larger than $w(k)$.

**Example 2.7.** Let us illustrate the lemma when $k = 4$ and $n = 6$. Consider $w = (1 < 2 < 6 < 3)$ and $v = (2 < 4 < 6 < 5)$. Then $\bar{v}_Y = 4$ and $\bar{v}_Z = \bar{v}^o = 2$, hence

$$w \cdot O_Y(1) = (2 < \bar{6} < \bar{4} < \bar{3}),$$

$$w \cdot O_Z(1) = (1 < \bar{6} < \bar{3} < \bar{2}),$$

$$v \cdot k O^o(1) = (4 < \bar{6} < \bar{5} < \bar{2}).$$

3. The moment graph

Sometimes called the GKM graph, the moment graph of a variety with an action of a torus $T$ has a vertex for each $T$-fixed point, and an edge for each 1-dimensional torus orbit. The description of the moment graphs for flag manifolds is well known, and it can be found e.g in [Kum02, Ch. XII]. In this section we consider the moment graphs for $X = \text{IG}(k, 2n + 1) \subset X^{ev} = \text{IG}(k, 2n + 2)$. As before let $P = P_k \subset \text{Sp}_{2n+2}$ be the maximal parabolic for $X^{ev}$. Recall that the minimal length representatives in $w \in W^P$ are in one to one correspondence to sequences $1 \leq w(1) < \cdots < w(k) \leq 1$, and that those corresponding to the odd symplectic Grassmannian satisfy in addition that $w(i) \leq 2$ for $1 \leq i \leq n + 1$.

3.1. Moment graph structure of $\text{IG}(k, 2n + 2)$. The moment graph of $X^{ev}$ has a vertex for each $w \in W^P$, and an edge $w \rightarrow ws_\alpha$ for each

$$\alpha \in R^+ \setminus R_1^+ = \{t_i - t_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq k < j \leq n + 1\} \cup \{t_i + t_j, 2t_i \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n + 1, i < k\}.$$ Geometrically, this edge corresponds to the unique torus-stable curve $C_\alpha(w)$ joining $w$ and $ws_\alpha$. The curve $C_\alpha(w)$ has degree $d$, where $\alpha' + \Delta_P = d\alpha'_\gamma + \Delta_P$.

**Definition 3.1.** Define the following to describe moment graph combinatorics.

(1) First we will partition the positive roots into two sets.
Proof. The fact that an edge exists between $v$ and $w$ if $w = v_{s_\alpha}$ for some $\alpha \in R^+$ follows from the definition of the moment graph.

Moreover, if $\alpha \in R^+_1$ then we are in one of the following three situations:

1. $t_i - t_j = (t_i - t_{i+1}) + (t_{i+1} - t_{i+1}) + \cdots + (t_k - t_{k+1}) + \cdots + (t_{j-1} - t_j),$ $t_i + t_j = (t_i - t_{i+1}) + (t_{i+1} - t_{i+1}) + \cdots + (t_k - t_{k+1}) + \cdots + 2(t_j + t_{j+1})$ 
   $\quad + \cdots + 2(t_n - t_{n+1}) + 2t_{n+1},$ $t_i = (t_i - t_{i+1}) + \cdots + (t_k - t_{k+1}) + \cdots + (t_n - t_{n+1}) + t_{n+1}.$

In any case we see that the corresponding edge has degree 1. On the other hand if $\alpha \in R^+_2$ then

$$ (t_i - t_{i+1}) + \cdots + 2(t_j - t_{j-1}) + \cdots + 2(t_k - t_{k+1}) + \cdots + 2(t_n - t_{n+1}) + 2t_{n+1}, $$

hence the corresponding edge has degree 2. This proves Part (1) of the statement. Parts (2) and (3) are clear. \hfill \Box

Example 3.3. Assume $k = 4$ and $n = 6$ and consider the vertex indexed by $w = (1 < 2 < 6 < 3)$. Let $\alpha_1 = t_1 - t_5$, $\alpha_2 = t_1 + t_5$ and $\alpha_3 = t_1 + t_2$. The minimal length representatives of $w_{s_\alpha}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ are $u_1 = (2 < 4 < 6 < 3)$, $u_2 = (2 < 6 < 4 < 3)$, and $u_3 = (6 < 3 < 2 < 1)$. The edges $w \to u_1$, $w \to u_2$ both have degree 1 while the edge $w \to u_3$ has degree 2. We also notice that $\phi(w) = 2$, $\phi(u_1) = 2$, $\phi(u_2) = 3$, and $\phi(u_3) = 4$, in agreement with Proposition 3.2.

The next lemma gives a necessary condition on $u, v \in W^P$ for a chain of degree $d$ to exist from $u$ to $v$.

Lemma 3.4. Let $u, v \in W^P$ be connected by a degree $d$ chain

$$(uW^P \xrightarrow{d} vW^P) = (uW^P \rightarrow u_{s_{\alpha_1}}W^P \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow u_{s_{\alpha_1}s_{\alpha_2}}W^P \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow u_{s_{\alpha_1}s_{\alpha_2}\cdots s_{\alpha_\ell}}W^P)$$

where $vW^P = u_{s_{\alpha_1}s_{\alpha_2}\cdots s_{\alpha_\ell}}W^P$ and the $\alpha_i$ are in $R^+ \setminus R^+_P$. Then:

1. $d = \#\{\alpha_i \in R^+_1\} + 2 \cdot \#\{\alpha_i \in R^+_2\},$
2. $\phi(v) \leq d + \phi(u),$
3. if $u = id$ then at least $k - d$ elements of $\{v(1), v(2), \ldots, v(k)\}$ must be smaller than or equal to $k$. 


Proof. For (1) just recall from Proposition 3.2 that edges corresponding to \( \alpha_i \in R^+_1 \) have degree 1 while edges corresponding to \( \alpha_i \in R^+_2 \) have degree 2. For (2), use (1) and apply Proposition 3.2 (2,3) to the chain. Finally, for (3) notice that all \( k \) entries of \( \text{id} = (1 < 2 < \cdots < k) \) are smaller than or equal to \( k \), and that a degree \( i \) edge \( (i = 1, 2) \) sends at most \( i \) entries smaller than or equal to \( k \) to entries larger than \( k \).

\[ \Box \]

3.2. Moment graph structure of \( IG(k, 2n + 1) \). In this subsection we will assume that \( k < n + 1 \). The moment graph of \( X \) is the full subgraph of that of \( X^e \) determined by the vertices \( w \in W^P \cap W^o \). Notice that the orbits of \( T \) and \( T_{2n+2} \) coincide, therefore we do not distinguish between the moment graphs for these tori.

**Proposition 3.5.** The \( T_{2n} \)-fixed points of \( IG(k, 2n + 1) \) are all contained in \( Y \) or \( Z \).

Proof. The \( T_{2n} \)-fixed points of \( Y \) and \( Z \), which are both \( Sp_{2n} \)-homogeneous spaces, are given by \( uP_Y \) for \( u \in W^Y \) and \( vP_Z \) for \( v \in W^Z \). Since both \( Y \) and \( Z \) embed \( Sp_{2n} \)-equivariantly into \( X = IG(k, 2n + 1) \), these points are also \( T_{2n} \)-fixed points of \( X \).

To see that there are no other \( T_{2n} \)-fixed points in \( X \), notice that all the \( T_{2n} \)-fixed points above are also fixed by \( T \) and \( T_{2n+2} \). But there are as many \( T_{2n+2} \)-fixed points in \( X \) as the rank of its cohomology, and that is also the number of points of the form \( uP_Y \) for \( u \in W^Y \) and \( vP_Z \) for \( v \in W^Z \).

\[ \Box \]

**Lemma 3.6.** Let \( 1 \leq d \leq k \), and \( v \in W^P \cap W^o \). If \( \varphi(v) < d \) then there exists \( u \in W^P \cap W^o \) such that \( u > v \) (for the Bruhat order) and \( \varphi(u) = d \).

Proof. Our assumptions imply that \( v = (a_1 < \cdots < a_r < a_k < \cdots < a_k) \) with \( r > k - d \). The element \( u = (a_1 < \cdots < a_{k-d} < a_k < \cdots < a_k) \) clearly satisfies \( \varphi(u) = d \), and it is larger than \( v \) for the Bruhat order since \( r > k - d \) and \( a_1 < \cdots < a_k \).

\[ \Box \]

**Proposition 3.7.** The function \( \Phi: W^o \cap W^P \to W^Y \) from Proposition 2.3 induces a moment graph isomorphism between:

- the full subgraph \( MG_{X^o} \) of the moment graph of \( IG(k, 2n + 1) \) induced by the \( T \)-fixed points contained in \( X^o \),
- the moment graph \( MG_Y \) of \( Y = IG(k, 2n) \).

The graph isomorphism is in the sense that the graphs are labeled with 1’s and 2’s instead of coroots.

Proof. Recall that the \( T \)-fixed points in the open \( Sp_{2n+1} \)-orbit \( X^o \) are indexed by the elements of \( W^o \cap W^P \). From Proposition 2.3 we know that \( \Phi \) is bijective, therefore the vertices of \( MG_{X^o} \) and \( MG_Y \) are in bijection.

Moreover, the edges of \( MG_{X^o} \) correspond to the \( T \)-stable curves in \( X^o \),

\[ E^o = \{ C(w, v) \mid w, v \in W^o \cap W^P, v = ws_\alpha \text{ for some } \alpha \in R^+ \} \]

Likewise, the edges of \( MG_Y \) correspond to the \( T \)-stable curves in \( IG(k, 2n) \):

\[ E_Y = \{ C(w, v) \mid w, v \in W^Y, v = ws_\alpha \text{ for some } \alpha \in R^+_{2n} \} \]

The map \( \Phi_E: E^o \to E \) given by

\[ \Phi_E(C(w, v)) = C(\Phi(w), \Phi(v)) \]

is clearly a bijection between both edge sets.

To conclude we show that the edge degrees are preserved. Let \( C(w, v) \in E^o \). Then \( v = ws_\alpha \) for some \( \alpha \in R^+ \), and we have:

1. \( v = ws_{i \pm j} \) if and only if \( \Phi(v) = \Phi(w)s_{t_i \pm t_{j-1}} \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq k \) and \( k + 1 \leq j \leq n + 1 \);
(2) $v = ws_{2i}$ if and only if $\Phi(v) = \Phi(w)s_{2i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$;

(3) $v = ws_{t_i + t_j}$ if and only if $\Phi(v) = \Phi(w)s_{t_i + t_j}$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq k$.

Thus by Proposition 3.2, the degree $\deg C(u, v)$ in $X^c$ is equal to the degree of $C(\Phi(u), \Phi(v))$ in $Y$. □

The next Theorem gives a description of the $T$-fixed curves in the moment graph that has a $T$-fixed point in the closed orbit and another $T$-fixed in the open orbit. We reserve its proof until Subsection 7.2 as it requires combinatorial objects which are yet to be defined.

**Theorem 3.8.** Let $w, v \in W^P \cap W^{odd}$ where $v = ws_\alpha$ for some root $\alpha$, $X(w) \subset Z$, and $X(v) \cap X^c \neq \emptyset$. Then

1. The $T$-stable curve $C(w, v)$ from $w$ to $v$ in the moment graph has degree 1;
2. The inequality $\ell(v) > \ell(w)$ holds.

The next proposition uses Lemma 2.6 to prove the “square” property. It will later be interpreted in the following way. If $X(w) \subset Z$ is a Schubert variety of the closed $Sp_{2n+1}$-orbit, then its line neighborhood generally consists of two connected components, $X(w \cdot O_Y(1))$, which intersects $X^c$, and $X(w \cdot O_Z(1))$, which is contained in $Z$. Now the proposition says that the line neighborhood of $X(w \cdot O_Y(1))$ coincides with the connected component of the line neighborhood of $X(w \cdot O_Z(1))$ which intersects $X^c$.

**Proposition 3.9.** Let $w \in W^{odd} \cap W^P$ be such that $X(w) \subset Z$. Then

$$(w \cdot O_Z(1)W_P) \cdot O_Y(1)W_P = ((w \cdot O_Y(1)W_P) \cdot O_Z(1)W_P) \cdot O_Y(1).$$

**Proof.** We have $w = (1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_r < \tilde{a}_k < \cdots < \tilde{a}_{k-r})$. We use Lemma 2.6 throughout. Evaluating the left side of the equation we have

$$w \cdot O_Z(1) \cdot O_Y(1) = (1 < w(3) < \cdots < \tilde{j}_Z < \cdots < w(k)) \cdot O_Y(1)$$

$$= (w(3) < \cdots < \tilde{j}_Y < \cdots < \tilde{j}_Z < \cdots < w(k))$$

where

$$j_Z = \min (\{2, \cdots, n + 1\} \setminus \{a_3, \cdots, a_k\})$$

and

$$j_Y = \min (\{2, \cdots, n + 1\} \setminus \{a_3, \cdots, a_k, j_Z\}).$$

We now evaluate the right side of the equation.

$$w \cdot O_Y(1) \cdot O_Z(1) = (w(2) < w(3) < \cdots < \tilde{i}_Y < \cdots < w(k)) \cdot O_Z(1)$$

$$= (w(3) < \cdots < \tilde{i}^c < \cdots < \tilde{i}_Y < \cdots < w(k))$$

where

$$i_Y = \min (\{2, \cdots, n + 1\} \setminus \{a_2, \cdots, a_k\}),$$

$$i^c = \min (\{2, \cdots, n + 1\} \setminus \{a_3, \cdots, a_k, i_Y\}),$$

and $i^c$ is possibly smaller than $i_Y$.

By definition $j_Z \leq i_Y$. If $j_Z = i_Y$ then $j_Y$ and $i^c$ are clearly also equal, and the result follows. On the other hand, if $j_Z < i_Y$ then $j_Z$ must be equal to $a_2$, so by their definitions $j_Y = i_Y$. Moreover $j_Z$ can only be different from $i^c$ if

$$i_Y = \min (\{2, \cdots, n + 1\} \setminus \{a_3, \cdots, a_k\}) = j_Z,$$

which would contradict our assumption that $j_Z < i_Y$. Therefore $j_Z = i^c$ and $j_Y = i_Y$, which concludes the proof. □
Example 3.10. Assume $k = 4$, $n = 6$, and $w = (1 < 2 < 6 < 3)$. Then $w \cdot O_Y(1) = (2 < 6 < 4 < 3)$ and $w \cdot O_Z(1) = (1 < 6 < 3 < 2)$, see Example 2.7. Applying Lemma 2.6 we get that $w \cdot O_Y(1) \cdot k O^\circ(1)$ and $w \cdot O_Z(1) \cdot O_Y(1)$ are both equal to $(6 < 4 < 3 < 2)$, as claimed in Proposition 3.9.

Corollary 3.11. Let $w \in W^{odd} \cap W^p$ be such that $X(w) \subset Z$. Then if $d_1 + d_2 = d - 1$,
\[
(w \cdot O_Y(d)) \cdot O_Y(1) = ((w \cdot O_Z(d_1 + 1)) W_p \cdot O_Y(1)) W_p \cdot k O^\circ(d_2) W_p
\]

Proof. Note that in the following proof, even though we will be working with $W_p$-cosets, we may omit them from the notation to improve readability.

By definition of $O_Z(d_1)$, if $d_1 \geq 1$ we have
\[
(w \cdot O_Z(d_1)) \cdot O_Y(1) = ((w \cdot O_Z(d_1 - 1)) \cdot O_Y(1)) \cdot k O^\circ(1),
\]

Applying Proposition 3.9 on the right-hand side we deduce
\[
((w \cdot O_Z(d_1 - 1)) \cdot O_Y(1)) \cdot O_Y(1) = ((w \cdot O_Z(d_1 - 1)) \cdot O_Y(1)) \cdot k O^\circ(1),
\]

and therefore
\[
(((w \cdot O_Z(d_1)) \cdot O_Y(1)) \cdot k O^\circ(d_2)) = (((w \cdot O_Z(d_1 - 1)) \cdot O_Y(1)) \cdot k O^\circ(1)) \cdot k O^\circ(d_2))
\]
\[
= (w \cdot O_Z(d_1 - 1)) \cdot O_Y(1)) \cdot k O^\circ(d_2 + 1),
\]

where the second equality comes from the definition of $O^\circ(d_2 + 1)$. Iterating this process $d_1 - 1$ more times we obtain
\[
(((w \cdot O_Z(d_1)) \cdot O_Y(1)) \cdot k O^\circ(d_2)) = (w \cdot O_Y(1)) \cdot k O^\circ(d_1 + d_2) = (w \cdot O_Y(1)) \cdot k O^\circ(d - 1).
\]

As $O_Y(1) \cdot k O^\circ(d - 1) = O_Y(d)$, the result follows. \qed

Figure 1 illustrates the moment graphs of $IG(2,5)$ and $IG(2,6)$. The blue portion corresponds to vertices and edges outside the Schubert variety $IG(2,5)$, while other vertices and edges form the moment graph of $IG(2,5)$. Within this moment graph lies that of $Z = IG(1,4) = \mathbb{P}^3$, depicted in red, and that of $Y = IG(2,4) \subset X^\circ$, depicted in black. The green edges link torus-fixed points of the closed $Sp_{2n+1}$-orbit $Z$ to torus-fixed points of the open $Sp_{2n+1}$-orbit $X^\circ$. Note that the edges involved in Proposition 3.9 are of that type.

4. Curve Neighborhoods

Let $\mathcal{X} \in \{X, X_{ev}\}$ and let $d \in H_2(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Z})$ be an effective degree. Recall that the moduli space of genus 0, degree $d$ stable maps with two marked points $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,2}(\mathcal{X}, d)$ is endowed with two evaluation maps $ev_i : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,2}(\mathcal{X}, d) \to \mathcal{X}$, $i = 1, 2$ which stable maps at the $i$-th marked point.

Let $\Omega \subset \mathcal{X}$ be a closed subvariety. The curve neighborhood of $\Omega$ is the subscheme
\[
\Gamma_d(\Omega) := ev_2(ev_1^{-1} \Omega) \subset \mathcal{X}
\]
endowed with the reduced scheme structure. This notion was introduced by Buch, Chaput, Mihalcea and Perrin [BCMP13] to help study the quantum K-theory ring of cominuscule Grassmannians. It was analyzed further for any homogeneous space by Buch and Mihalcea [BM15], in relation to 2-point K-theoretic Gromov-Witten invariants, and to a new proof of the quantum Chevalley formula. Often, estimates for the dimension of the curve neighborhoods provide vanishing conditions for certain Gromov-Witten invariants.
Figure 1. The moment graph of IG(2, 5) inside that of IG(2, 6), without degree labels.

Remark 4.1. We start with the observation (going back to [BCMP13]) that if \( \Omega \) is a Schubert variety of \( \mathcal{X} \), then \( \Gamma_d^\Omega \) must be a (finite) union of Schubert varieties, stable under the same Borel subgroup. This follows because \( \Omega \) is stable under the appropriate Borel subgroup, and \( \text{ev}_1, \text{ev}_2 \) are proper, equivariant maps; thus \( \Gamma_d^\Omega \) is closed and Borel stable.

It is possible to say more for homogeneous spaces. Indeed, it was proved in [BCMP13] that the curve neighborhood of any Schubert variety of a homogeneous space \( V = G/P \) is again a Schubert variety. This Schubert variety was described in [BM15], namely, \( \Gamma_d^V = V(z_dW_P) \), where \( z_d \in W \) is defined by the condition that \( \Gamma_d(1.P) = V(z_dW_P) \). The Weyl group element \( z_d \) can be constructed recursively.

Coming back to \( X^{ev} \). The maximal elements of the set \( \{ \beta \in R^+ \setminus R_P^+: \beta^\vee + \Delta_P^\vee \leq d \} \) are called maximal roots of \( d \). The following follows from [BM15, Corollary 4.12]. The following follows from [BM15, Corollary 4.12].

Proposition 4.2. Let \( d \in H_2(IG(k, 2n + 2)) \) be an effective degree. If \( \alpha \in R^+ \setminus R_P^+ \) is a maximal root of \( d \), then \( s_\alpha \cdot z_d = z_dW_P \).

Corollary 4.3. (a) If \( k > 1 \) then there is an equality \( z_1W_P = s_2s_1W_P \) and the minimal length representative of \( z_1W_P \) is \( (2 < 3 < \cdots < k < 1) \).
(b) For $d \geq 1$ it follows that $z_d W_P = s_{2t_1} \cdot s_{2t_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot s_{2t_1} W_P$ (where $s_{2t_1}$ appears $d$-times)

Proof. The first part follows directly from Proposition 4.2. For part (b), notice that $2t_1$ is a maximal root of $d = 1$, therefore $z_1 W_P = s_{2t_1} W_P$. By the recursion in Proposition 4.2, since $2t_1$ is a maximal element of any $d \geq 1$ we obtain $z_d W_P = s_{2t_1} \cdot s_{2t_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot s_{2t_1} W_P$ (where $s_{2t_1}$ appears $d$-times).

Let $w \in W^P \cap W^{odd}$ and let $d \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ be an effective degree. As mentioned above, the curve neighborhood $\Gamma_d(X(w))$ of $X(w)$ is a closed, $B$-stable subvariety of $X$, therefore it must be a union of Schubert varieties:

$$\Gamma_d(X(w)) = X(w^1) \cup \cdots \cup X(w^n)$$

where $w^i \in W^P \cap W^{odd}$. As noted in [BM15, §5.2] and [MM18, Cor. 5.5], the permutations $w^i$ can be determined combinatorially from the moment graph.

**Proposition 4.4.** Let $w \in W^P \cap W^{odd}$. In the moment graph of $X = IG(k, 2n + 1)$, let $\{v^1, \ldots, v^n\}$ be the maximal vertices (for the Bruhat order) which can be reached from any $u \leq w$ using a path of degree $d$ or less. Then $\Gamma_d(X(w)) = X(v^1) \cup \cdots \cup X(v^n)$.

Proof. Let $Z_{w,d} = X(v^1) \cup \cdots \cup X(v^n)$. Let $v := v^i \in Z_{w,d}$ be one of the maximal $T$-fixed points. By the definition of $v$ and the moment graph there exists a chain of $T$-stable rational curves of degree less than or equal to $d$ joining $u \leq w$ to $v$. It follows that there exists a degree $d$ stable map joining $u \leq w$ to $v$. Therefore $v \in \Gamma_d(X(w))$, thus $X(v) \subset \Gamma_d(X(w))$, and finally $Z_{w,d} \subset \Gamma_d(X(w))$.

For the converse inclusion, let $v \in \Gamma_d(X(w))$ be a $T$-fixed point. By [MM18, Lemma 5.3] there exists a $T$-stable curve joining a fixed point $u \in X(w)$ to $v$. This curve corresponds to a path of degree $d$ or less from some $u \leq w$ to $v$ in the moment graph of $IG(k, 2n + 1)$. By maximality of the $v^i$ it follows that $v \leq v^i$ for some $i$, hence $v \in X(v^i) \subset Z_{w,d}$, which completes the proof.

### 4.1. Curve neighborhoods in the open orbit $X^\circ$

We now consider the curve neighborhoods of Schubert varieties which intersect the open $Sp_{2n+1}$-orbit $X^\circ$. Such Schubert varieties are indexed by the elements of $W^\circ \cap W^P$, see Proposition 2.3. Our strategy consists of three steps:

- we show in Proposition 4.5 that $\Gamma_d(X(w))$ is irreducible, hence a Schubert variety, when $w \in W^\circ \cap W^P$;
- we compute the curve neighborhood of a particular Schubert variety $X(id_Y)$ in Proposition 4.6;
- we deduce in Proposition 4.9 the curve neighborhood of any Schubert variety which intersect $X^\circ$ using the $Sp_{2n}$-action on the $T$-fixed points of the open orbit.

**Proposition 4.5.** Let $X(w) \subset IG(k, 2n + 1)$ be a Schubert variety such that $X(w) \cap X^\circ \neq \emptyset$ and let $d$ be an effective degree. Then $\Gamma_d(X(w))$ is irreducible.

Proof. First apply [BCMP13, Prop. 2.3] to the evaluation morphism $ev_2: \mathcal{M}_{0,2}(IG(k, 2n + 1), d) \to IG(k, 2n + 1)$ to deduce that this morphism is a locally trivial fibration over the open orbit, and that the fibers over the open orbit are irreducible. The map is $Sp_{2n+1}$-equivariant and both varieties are irreducible (see [GPPS19, Theorem 2.5] for the irreducibility of the moduli space). We also need $IG(k, 2n + 1)$ to be $Sp_{2n+1}$-split in order to apply [BCMP13, Prop. 2.3]. We already know it is $B_{2n+2}$-split by [BCMP13, Prop. 2.2], since it is a Schubert variety of $IG(k, 2n + 2)$. This $B_{2n+2}$-splitting is the inverse of the isomorphism $U_{2n+2} \to \Omega^\circ$ from [BCMP13, Prop. 2.2]. Here $U_{2n+2}$ denotes the maximal unipotent subgroup of $Sp_{2n+2}$.
and \( \Omega^\circ \) is the big cell in \( IG(k, 2n + 1) \). Let \( s : \Omega^\circ \to U_{2n+2} \) denote this splitting. Composing with the map \( B_{2n+2} \to \text{Sp}_{2n+1}, g \mapsto g|_E \) gives us a morphism \( \Omega^\circ \to \text{Sp}_{2n+1} \) which is a \( \text{Sp}_{2n+1} \)-splitting.

Now let us check that if \( X(w) \subset IG(k, 2n+1) \) is a Schubert variety such that \( X(w) \cap X^\circ \neq \emptyset \), then the Gromov-Witten variety \( ev_{2}^{-1}(X(w)) \) is irreducible. We use Lemma 5.8.12 from [Sta18]. We look at the map \( ev_{2}^{-1}(X(w)) \to X(w) \), which is open. We have \( X(w) \) irreducible, and there does exist a dense collection of points over which the fibre is irreducible (all the points in \( X(w) \cap X^\circ \)). So the Gromov-Witten variety \( ev_{2}^{-1}(X(w)) \) is irreducible.

Then \( \Gamma_d(X(w)) \) is the image by \( ev_1 \) of the irreducible variety \( ev_{2}^{-1}(X(w)) \), so it is also irreducible. Moreover, we know that it is a disjoint union of Schubert varieties in \( IG(k, 2n+1) \), as observed in Remark 4.1. Therefore \( \Gamma_d(X(w)) \) is of the form \( X(v) \) for some \( v \in W^P \cap W^{odd} \), hence it is irreducible.

From Proposition 3.5 we know that all the \( T \)-fixed points of the open \( \text{Sp}_{2n+1} \)-orbit are in fact contained in the \( \text{Sp}_{2n} \)-orbit \( Y = IG(k, 2n) \). Therefore there is a \( T \)-fixed point in \( Y \) which plays an analogous role to that of the Schubert point \( X(id) \). Namely, we define
\[
\text{id}_Y = (2 < 3 < \cdots < k) \in W^P.
\]
Recall the notation \( O^\circ(1) := s_1 \cdots s_{n+1} \cdots s_1 \) from Section 2.6 and define
\[
O^\circ(d) = O^\circ(d-1) \cdot_k O^\circ(1)
\]
for \( d > 1 \).

**Proposition 4.6.** The curve neighborhood of the Schubert variety \( X(\text{id}_Y) \) is given by
\[
\Gamma_d(X(\text{id}_Y)) = X(\text{id}_Y \cdot_k O^\circ(d)).
\]

To prove Proposition 4.6 we take a closer look at chains of curves from \( \text{id}_Y \).

**Definition 4.7.** Let \( A_Y(d) \) denote the set of \( T \)-fixed points in \( Y \) connected to \( \text{id}_Y \) by a degree \( d \) chain, that is,
\[
A_Y(d) = \left\{ u \in W^P \cap W^{odd} \mid u(1) \neq 1, \text{ and there exists } \text{id}_Y W_P \xrightarrow{d} u W_P \right\}.
\]

Here \( \varphi(u) = \#\{u(i) \mid u(i) \geq n+1\} \), see Definition 3.1.

**Lemma 4.8.** Let \( k < n + 1 \) and \( 1 \leq d \leq k \). The maximal element of \( A_Y(d) \) (w.r.t the Bruhat order) is \( \text{id}_Y \cdot_k O^\circ(d) \). The minimal length representative of \( \text{id}_Y \cdot_k O^\circ(d) \) is:
\[
\begin{cases} 
(d+2 < d+3 < \cdots < k < \overline{d+1} \cdots < \overline{3} < 2) & \text{if } 1 \leq d < k; \\
(k+1 < \overline{k} \cdots < \overline{3} < 2) & \text{if } d = k.
\end{cases}
\]

**Proof.** To check that the minimal length representative of \( \text{id}_Y \cdot_k O^\circ(d) \) is \( v_d \), we repeatedly apply Lemma 2.6.

We now prove that \( v_d \) is the maximal element of \( A_Y(d) \). First, since the curve neighborhood \( \Gamma_d(X(\text{id}_Y)) \) is irreducible by Proposition 4.5, we know that \( A_Y(d) \) has a maximum element, which we denote by \( z_d \).

Next we argue that a chain \( \text{id}_Y W_P \xrightarrow{d} z_d \) cannot have any \( T \)-fixed point in the closed \( \text{Sp}_{2n+1} \)-orbit \( Z \). Indeed let \( \text{id}_Y W_P \xrightarrow{d} u W_P \) be a chain that includes at least one \( T \)-fixed point in \( Z \). Such a chain is of the form
\[
\text{id}_Y \to u_1 \to \cdots \to u_s \to u_{s+1} \to \cdots \to u_r \to u
\]
where \( u_s \in Y, u_{s+1} \in Z \), and the degrees of the edges add up to \( d \). Write \( u_s = u_{s+1} s_\alpha \). By Theorem 3.8 we know that the edge \( u_s \to u_{s+1} \) has degree one, and that \( \ell(u_s) > \ell(u_{s+1}) \).
Since \( u_s \in Y \) and \( u_{s+1} \in Z \) the positive root \( \alpha \) must be of the form \( t_j \pm t_j \) for \( k > j \). Therefore, the number \( \varphi(u_{s+1}) \) of barred entries in \( u_{s+1} \) cannot exceed \( \varphi(u_s) \). We decompose the degree \( d \) chain as follows:

\[
\text{id}_Y \xrightarrow{d_1} u_s \xrightarrow{1} u_{s+1} \xrightarrow{d_2} u,
\]

where \( d_1 + d_2 + 1 = d \). By Lemma 3.4 we know that \( \varphi(u_s) \leq \varphi(\text{id}_Y) + d_1 = d \) and \( \varphi(u) \leq \varphi(u_{s+1}) + d_2 \). Since \( \varphi(u_{s+1}) \leq \varphi(u_s) \) it follows that \( \varphi(u) \leq d_1 + d_2 < d \).

However, if we start from \( \text{id}_Y \) and apply the reflection \( s_{2t_1} \) \( d \) times, taking minimal \( W_P \)-coset representatives at each step, we obtain the element \( v_d \) defined earlier. This gives us a degree \( d \) chain \( \text{id}_Y \rightarrow v_d \), and we clearly have \( \varphi(v_d) = d \). If \( u \) was the maximal element of \( A_Y(d) \) then we would have \( u \geq v_d \), which is impossible since \( \varphi(u) < \varphi(v_d) \). Thus a chain \( \text{id}_Y \xrightarrow{d} z_d \) has all its \( T \)-fixed points in \( Y \), and we also have that \( v_d \leq z_d \) since there is a degree \( d \) chain \( \text{id}_Y \rightarrow v_d \).

We now compute the curve neighbourhood of the Schubert point in \( Y \cong \text{IG}(k,2n) \), namely \( Y(\text{id}) \). Here \( \text{id} \) denotes the identity in the smaller Weyl group \( W_{2n} \). We remark that \( \Phi(\text{id}_Y) = \text{id} \). Applying the Buch-Mihalcea Recursion, see Proposition 4.2, we get that

\[
\Gamma_d(Y(\text{id})) = Y(s_{2t_1} \cdots s_{2t_1} W_Y)(s_{2t_1} \text{ appearing } d \text{-times})
\]

and we easily compute that

\[
s_{2t_1} \cdots s_{2t_1} W_Y = (d + 1 < d + 2 < \cdots < k < \bar{d} \cdots < \bar{2} < \bar{1}) = \Phi(v_d).
\]

This means the maximal degree \( d \) chain from \( \text{id} \) in \( \text{IG}(k,2n) \) ends at \( \Phi(v_d) \).

Now recall that the chain \( \text{id}_Y \xrightarrow{d} z_d \) has all its \( T \)-fixed points in \( Y \), hence we can take its image by \( \Phi \). This image is a degree \( d \) chain from \( \text{id} \rightarrow \Phi(z_d) \), hence \( \Phi(z_d) \leq \Phi(v_d) \) by maximality of \( \Phi(v_d) \). Since \( \Phi \) is clearly order-preserving is follows that \( z_d \leq v_d \), hence finally \( z_d = v_d \), which concludes the proof. \( \square \)

**Proof of Proposition 4.6.** Combining Propositions 4.4 and 4.5 we get that \( \Gamma_d(X(\text{id}_Y)) = X(u_d) \), where \( u_d \) is the maximal vertex (for the Bruhat order), which can be reached from some \( u \leq \text{id}_Y \) using a path of degree \( d \) or less. Let \( u \rightarrow u_d \) be such a path. Note that \( u_d \in Y \), otherwise the whole curve neighborhood \( \Gamma_d(X(\text{id}_Y)) \) would be contained in \( Z \), which is impossible since \( \text{id}_Y \notin Z \). If \( u = \text{id}_Y \) it follows from Lemma 4.8 that \( u_d = \text{id}_Y \cdot_k O^0(d) \), hence the result. But if \( u < \text{id}_Y \) then \( u \in Z \), and we can show as in the proof of Lemma 4.8 that \( \varphi(u_d) < \varphi(u) + d \), hence \( \varphi(u_d) < d \), and we argue as before that this contradicts the maximality of \( u_d \). \( \square \)

From Proposition 4.6 we deduce the situation for an arbitrary Schubert variety \( X(w) \) intersecting \( X^0 \).

**Proposition 4.9.** Let \( X(w) \subseteq \text{IG}(k,2n+1) \) be a Schubert variety such that \( X(w) \cap X^0 \neq \emptyset \) and \( d \) be an effective degree. Then \( \Gamma_d(X(w)) = X(w \cdot_k O^0(d)) \).

**Proof.** From Propositions 4.4 and 4.5 we know that the curve neighborhood \( \Gamma_d(X(w)) \) is a Schubert variety. The fact that it is \( X(w \cdot_k O^0(d)) \) follows now directly from Proposition 4.6 and the recursion of [BM15, Theorem 5.1]. \( \square \)

### 4.2. Curve neighborhoods in the Z-orbit

In this section we describe the curve neighborhoods of Schubert varieties contained in the closed \( \text{Sp}_{2n+1} \)-orbit \( Z \). The following result shows that such a curve neighborhood is in general *not* irreducible; instead, it can have two connected components.
Proposition 4.10. Let $X(w) \subset IG(k, 2n + 1)$ be a Schubert variety such that $X(w) \subset Z$ and let $d$ be an effective degree. Then $\Gamma_d(X(w))$ has one or two connected components. More precisely, there is always a component intersecting the open $Sp_{2n+1}$-orbit $X^o$, and there may be an additional component contained in the closed $Sp_{2n+1}$-orbit $Z$.

This time our strategy consists of two steps:

- we compute the curve neighborhood of the Schubert point $X(id)$ in Proposition 4.11;
- we deduce in Proposition 4.14 the curve neighborhood of any Schubert variety contained in $Z$ using the $Sp_{2n}$-action on the $T$-fixed points of the open orbit.

To state our results we recall the elements $O_Y(1) = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{k-1} s_{k+1} \cdots s_{n+1} \cdots s_2 s_1$ and $O_Z(1) = s_2 \cdots s_{n+1} \cdots s_2$ from Section 2.6, and for $d > 1$ we define $O_Z(d) := O_Z(d-1) \cdot O_Z(1)$ and $O_Y(d) := O_Z(d_1) \cdot O_Y(1) \cdot O^o(d_2)$ where $d_1 + d_2 + 1 = d$.

Proposition 4.11. The curve neighborhood of the Schubert point is given by

$$\Gamma_d(X(id)) = X(O_Y(d)) \cup X(O_Z(d)).$$

Here $X(O_Z(d))$ is contained in the closed orbit, while $X(O_Y(d))$ intersects the open orbit.

Definition 4.12. Let $A_Z(d)$ denote the set of $T$-fixed points in $Y$ such that there is a degree $d$ chain from $id$ to those $T$-fixed points. That is,

$$A_Z(d) = \left\{ u \in W^P \cap W^{odd} \mid u(1) \neq 1 \text{ and there exists } id W^P \rightarrow^d u W^P \right\}.$$

Note that $A_Z(d) \neq \emptyset$ as soon as $d \neq 0$.

Lemma 4.13. Let $k < n + 1$ and $1 \leq d \leq k$. The maximal element (w.r.t. the Bruhat order) of $A_Z(d)$ is $O_Y(d)$. The minimal length representative of $O_Y(d)$ is:

$$(2 < 3 < \cdots < k < k + 1) \quad \text{if } d = 1;$$

$$(d + 1 < d + 2 < \cdots < k < k + 1 < \cdots < 3 < 2) \quad \text{if } 1 < d < k;$$

$$(k + 1 < k < \cdots < 3 < 2) \quad \text{if } d = k.$$  

Proof. To check that the minimal length representative of $O_Y(d)$ is as stated we repeatedly apply Lemma 2.6.

First we notice that there is a degree $d$ chain from $id$ to $O_Y(d)$, obtained by applying once the reflection $s_{\tau_1 + k + 1}$, and $d-1$ times the reflection $s_{2\tau_1}$, taking minimal $W^P$-coset representatives at each step. It follows that $O_Y(d) \in A_Z(d)$.

Now consider $u \in A_Z(d)$; if we can prove that $u \leq O_Y(d)$ then we will be able to conclude that $O_Y(d)$ is the maximal element of $A_Z(d)$. Since $u \in A_Z(d)$ we must have $r := \varphi(u) \leq d$, hence $u$ is of the form

$$(a_1 < \cdots < a_{k-r} \leq \bar{a}_k < \cdots < \bar{a}_{k-r+1})$$

We know from part (3) of Lemma 3.4 that at least $k-d$ elements of $a_1, \ldots, a_{k-r}, \bar{a}_k, \ldots, \bar{a}_{k-r+1}$ must be smaller than or equal to $k$. This means $a_1, \ldots, a_{k-d}$ are all smaller than or equal to $k$. Therefore we must have $a_1 \leq d+1, a_2 \leq d+2, \ldots, a_{k-d} \leq k$. Hence the $k-d$ first entries of $u$ are smaller than or equal to the corresponding entries of $O_Y(d)$. Moreover, as $u \in W^{odd} \cap W^P$ and $u(1) \neq 1$, none of the $a_i$ can be equal to 1. It follows that the last $d-1$ entries of $u$ are smaller than or equal to the corresponding entries of $O_Y(d)$. To conclude that $u \leq O_Y(d)$ it only remains to show that $u(k-d+1) \leq \bar{k} + 1$. If $u(k-d+1)$ is not a barred element (i.e., if $r = \varphi(u) < d$), then the equality is clearly true. Otherwise $u(k-d+1) = \bar{a}_k$, and we need to show that $a_k \geq k + 1$. Indeed, if we had $a_k \leq k$, then $a_{k-d+1}, \ldots, a_k$ must all be smaller than or equal to $k$. As $a_1, \ldots, a_{k-d}$ are also smaller than
or equal to $k$, one of the $a_i$ must be equal to 1, a contradiction since $u \in Y$, hence $u \leq O_Y(d)$ as claimed.

**Proof of Proposition 4.11.** By Proposition 4.4 we have

$$\Gamma_d(X(id)) = X(v^1) \cup \cdots \cup X(v^s),$$

where $v^1, \cdots, v^s$ are the maximal vertices which can be reached from $id$ using a path of degree $d$ or less. Among these $v^i$, some are in $Y$ while the others are in $Z$. Our first goal is to prove that exactly one of the $v^i$ is in $Y$. It is immediate from Lemma 4.13 that at least one of the $v^i$ is in $Y$ as long as $d \geq 1$, as there always exists a degree $d$ curve from $id$ to $O_Y(d)$. Now take any $v^i \in Y$; by definition of $A_Z(d)$, $v^i$ must be an element of $A_Z(d)$, and by maximality and Lemma 4.13 we must have $v^i = O_Y(d)$.

There is at most one irreducible component of $\Gamma_d(X(id))$ contained in the closed orbit, otherwise this would contradict the irreducibility result in [BCMP13, Proposition 3.2]. Applying the Buch-Mihalcea Recursion, see Proposition 4.2, we get that

$$\Gamma_d(Z(id)) = Z(s_{2t_1} \cdots s_{2t_1} W_Z)(s_{2t_1} \text{ appearing } d\text{-times})$$

and we easily compute that

$$s_{2t_1} \cdots s_{2t_1} W_Z = (d + 1 < d + 2 < \cdots < k - 1 < \bar{d} < \cdots < \bar{2} < 1).$$

On the other hand, a quick calculation using Lemma 2.6 shows that the minimal length representative of $O_Z(d)$ is

$$(1 < d + 2 < d + 3 < \cdots < k < \overline{d+1} < \cdots < \overline{3} < 2).$$

It is immediate that the image by $\Phi_Z$ of the above element is $(d + 1 < d + 2 < \cdots < k - 1 < \bar{d} < \cdots < \bar{2} < 1)$.

It follows that $\Gamma_d(X(id)) = X(O_Y(d)) \cup X(O_Z(d))$ as claimed. □

The situation for an arbitrary Schubert variety $X(w) \subset Z$ is as follows.

**Proposition 4.14.** Let $w \in W_P \cap W^{odd}$ be an odd symplectic minimal length representative such that $X(w) \subset Z$ (i.e. $w(1) = 1$). Then the cosets $w \cdot O_Y(d)W_P$ and $w \cdot O_Z(d)W_P$ have representatives in $W^{odd}$ and

$$\Gamma_d(X(w)) = X(w \cdot O_Y(d)W_P) \cup X(w \cdot O_Z(d)W_P).$$

**Proof.** The $d = 1$ case follows from [MS19, Theorem 9.2]. For $d > 1$, since $O_Y(d) = O^\circ(d-1) \cdot \cdot O_Y(1)$, the open orbit component follows from the Buch-Mihalcea recursion in $Y$ while the closed orbit component follows from the recursion in $Z$. □

5. Partitions

So far all our results on curve neighborhoods have been expressed in terms of Weyl group elements, specifically in terms of elements of $W^{odd} \cap W_P$. However as for Grassmannians there are alternative indexations for Schubert varieties in terms of partitions. These indexations will allow us to refine the results of Section 4; in particular we will be able to say explicitly when the curve neighborhood of a Schubert variety in the closed $Sp_{2n+1}$-orbit has two components.

In this section we introduce two families of partitions indexing Schubert varieties of (even or odd) symplectic Grassmannians, namely **BC-partitions** in Section 5.1 and **BKT-partitions** in Section 5.2. In Section 5.3 we reformulate our results in terms of these indexations.
5.1. BC-partitions. In [SW20] an indexing set for type B and C isotropic Grassmannians is introduced. The advantage of this set of partitions is that the Bruhat order corresponds to inclusion of the Young diagrams, which is not the case in general for the $BKT$-partitions we will introduce in the next section; the drawback is that codimension cannot be readily computed by summing the parts of the partition. We call these collection of partitions BC-partitions.

If $1 \leq k \leq N - 1$ we denote by $\text{Part}(k, N)$ the set of partitions whose Young diagram fits inside a $k \times (N - k)$ rectangle, namely
\begin{equation}
\text{Part}(k, N) = \{ \mu = (\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \cdots \geq \mu_k \geq 0) \mid \mu_1 \leq N - k \}.
\end{equation}

If $N = 2n + 2$ is even we also encode elements of $\text{Part}(k, 2n + 2)$ by sequences of 0s and 1s, called 01-words, as follows. The boundary of the Young diagram of $\mu \in \text{Part}(k, 2n + 2)$ consists of $2n + 2$ steps, either horizontal or vertical, going from the northeast corner of the $k \times (2n + 2 - k)$ rectangle to its southwest corner. The total number of vertical steps is $k$. We associate to $\mu$ a 01-word, denoted by $D(\mu)$, as follows: if the $i$-th step is horizontal we set $D(\mu)(i) = 0$, otherwise $D(\mu) = 1$.

**Definition 5.1.** Let $BC(k, 2n + 2)$ denote the set of partitions $\mu \in \text{Part}(k, 2n + 2)$ such that $D(\mu)(i) = D(\mu)(2n + 3 - i)$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n + 1$, then $D(\mu)(i) = 0$. We also let $BC^{\text{odd}}$ denote the set of partitions in $BC(k, 2n + 2)$ whose first column has $k$ boxes, and we define

$$BC(k, 2n + 1) := \{ \lambda \in \text{Part}(k, 2n + 1) \mid \lambda + 1^k \in BC(k, 2n + 2) \} \cong BC^{\text{odd}}.$$ 

We call the elements of $BC(k, 2n + 2)$ BC-partitions.

**Example 5.2.** If $\lambda \in BC(k, 2n + 1)$ where $\lambda_1 = 2n + 1 - k$ then we have

$$\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \geq k - \ell(\lambda),$$

where $\ell(\lambda)$ denotes the number of parts of $\lambda$.

Elements of $BC(k, 2n + 2)$ are in bijection with $W^P$, while elements of $BC^{\text{odd}}$, hence $BC(k, 2n + 1)$, are in bijection with $W^{\text{odd}} \cap W^P$. If $\lambda \in BC(k, 2n + 1)$ we define

$$D(\lambda) := D(\lambda + 1^k),$$

noting that $\lambda + 1^k \in BC(k, 2n + 2)$.

**Lemma 5.3.** If $\mu \in BC(k, 2n + 2)$, let $r$ be the number of 1 in the $n + 1$ first entries of the associated 01-word $D(\mu)$. We denote by $1 \leq a_1 < \cdots < a_r \leq n + 1$ the integers such that $D(\mu)(a_i) = 1$, and by $1 \leq a_{r+1}, \ldots, a_k \leq n + 1$ those such that $D(\mu)(2n + 3 - a_i) = 1$.

The map $BC(k, 2n + 2) \to W^P$ which to $\mu$ associates the Weyl group element

$$(a_1 < \cdots < a_r < a_k < \cdots < a_{r+1})$$

is a bijection, which restricts to a bijection $BC^{\text{odd}} \to W^{\text{odd}} \cap W^P$.

The proof of the lemma is immediate, and we deduce in particular that the Schubert varieties in $IG(k, 2n + 1)$ are indexed by the partitions of $BC^{\text{odd}}$, or equivalently of $BC(k, 2n + 1)$. We will use this indexation throughout the section.

---

3The "BC" comes from the fact that this set of partitions is "Bruhat Compatible" for isotropic Grassmannians in Types B and C.
Example 5.4. Let \( k = 5, n = 7 \), and \( w = (1 < 6 < 8 < 7 < 2) \in W^{\text{odd}} \). Then \( \mu = (\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \mu_3 \geq \mu_4 \geq \mu_5) \in \text{BC}^{\text{odd}} \subset \text{BC}(5, 2 \cdot 7 + 2) \) is given by \( \mu = (11, 7, 5, 5, 1) \) and the corresponding partition in \( \text{BC}(5, 2 \cdot 7 + 1) \) is \( \lambda = (10, 6, 4, 4, 0) \).

Pictorially,

We will introduce the following definition which will be used later to describe certain curve neighborhood components.

Definition 5.5. Let \( \mu \in \text{Part}(k, N) \). Let \( \mu^t \) denote the transpose of \( \mu \), that is, the partition whose Young diagram is obtained by reflecting that of \( \mu \) along the northwest-to-southeast diagonal.

We say that \( \mu \) is \( m \)-wingtip symmetric\(^4\) if \( m \) is the largest nonnegative integer such that

\[
D(\mu)(i) = D(\mu^t)(i)
\]

for \( 1 \leq i \leq m \). Furthermore, we will say \( \lambda \in \text{BC}(k, 2n + 1) \) is \( m \)-wingtip symmetric if \( \lambda + 1^k \in \text{BC}(k, 2n + 2) \) is \( m \)-wingtip symmetric.

Example 5.6. Consider \( \mu = (10 \geq 8 \geq 3 \geq 1 \geq 0) \in \text{BC}(5, 2 \cdot 7 + 2) \). The partition \( \mu \) is 3-wingtip symmetric. It corresponds to the 01-word 0100100001001010. Here the first and last character, the second and second to last, and the third and third to last character have opposite parity. Pictorially,

\[
\mu = \begin{array}{c}
\text{[Diagram of Young diagram for } \mu\text{]}
\end{array}
\]

The first 3 bottom left hand boundary edges yield the partition \( \gamma = \begin{array}{c}
\text{[Diagram of Young diagram for } \gamma\text{]}
\end{array} \) and the first 3 top right hand boundary edges yields the partition \( \gamma^t \).

For Schubert varieties \( X(\lambda) \) intersecting the open orbit we introduce partitions \( \lambda^{O^\ell(d)} \) which will be used in Section 5.3 to recover a description of the curve neighborhood \( \Gamma_d(X(\lambda)) \).

Definition 5.7. Let \( \lambda \in \text{BC}(k, 2n + 1) \) such that \( X(\lambda) \cap X^\circ \neq \emptyset \) (equivalently, \( \lambda_1 < 2n + 1 - k \)). Then define \( \lambda^{O^\ell(d)} \) in the following way:

1. for \( d = 1 \) define \( \lambda^{O^\ell(1)} = (\lambda_2 - 1, \lambda_3 - 1, \cdots, \lambda_{\ell(\lambda)} - 1, 0, \cdots, 0) \).
2. for \( d > 1 \) define \( \lambda^{O^\ell(d)} = (\lambda^{O^\ell(d-1)})^{O^\ell(1)} \).

Explicitly, \( \lambda^{O^\ell(1)} \) is constructed by removing a hook from \( \lambda \).

For Schubert varieties \( X(\lambda) \) contained in the closed \( \text{Sp}_{2n+1} \)-orbit we similarly introduce the partitions \( \lambda^{O_Y(d)} \) and \( \lambda^{O_Z(d)} \).

Definition 5.8. Let \( \lambda \in \text{BC}(k, 2n + 1) \) be \( m \)-wingtip symmetric such that \( X(\lambda) \subset Z \) (equivalently, \( \lambda_1 = 2n + 1 - k \)). Then define \( \lambda^{O_Y(d)} \) in the following way.

\(^4\)To the best of the authors knowledge this property is unnamed in the literature. The part of the name “wingtip” came from considering Young diagrams as a fixed wing aircraft.
(1) If $d = 1$ then $\lambda^{O_Y(1)}$ is defined by
   (a) If $D(\lambda)(\bar{m}) = 1$ is the $i$th 1 in the 01-word $D(\lambda)$, then
       $$\lambda^{O_Y(1)} := (\lambda_2 - 1 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{i-1} - 1, \lambda_i, \lambda_{i+1}, \cdots, \lambda_k);$$
   Equivalently this means that $D(\lambda)(\bar{m}) = 1$ corresponds to a vertical step at the end of the $i$th row of $\lambda$;
   (b) If $D(\lambda)(\bar{m}) = 0$ corresponds to a horizontal step at the bottom of the $i$th row and in the $j$th column, then
       $$\lambda^{O_Y(1)} := (\lambda_2 - 1 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_i - 1, j, \lambda_{i+1}, \cdots, \lambda_k).$$

(2) For $d > 1$,
   $$\lambda^{O_Y(d)} = \left(\lambda^{O_Y(1)}\right)^{O_Y(d-1)}.$$

Example 5.9. Consider $\lambda = (10, 10, 3, 1, 1) \in BC(5, 2 + 7 + 1)$. The partition $\lambda$ is 4-wingtip symmetric and the corresponding 01-word is
   $$D(\lambda) = 1100000001001100.$$
We see that $D(\lambda)(\bar{4}) = 1$, and this corresponds the 4th vertical step, hence $i = 4$. Therefore Part (1)(a) of the definition says that $\lambda^{O_Y(1)} = (9, 2, 1, 1, 1)$.

Note that the Weyl group element associated with $\lambda$ is $w = (1 < 2 < 7 < 4 < 3)$, and that $w \cdot O_Y(1) = (2 < 7 < 5 < 4 < 3)$ by Lemma 2.6. As expected $w \cdot O_Y(1)$ is the Weyl group element associated with $\lambda^{O_Y(1)}$.

We also see that $\lambda^{O_Y(2)} = (1)$ and $\lambda^{O_Y(3)} = \emptyset$, in agreement with Lemma 2.6. Pictorially:

Example 5.10. Consider $\lambda = (10, 9, 9, 3) \in BC(5, 2 + 7 + 1)$. The partition $\lambda$ is 4-wingtip symmetric and the corresponding 01-word is
   $$D(\lambda) = 1011000000100010.$$
We see that $D(\lambda)(\bar{4}) = 0$, and this corresponds a horizontal step at the bottom of the 4th row and in the 3rd column, hence $i = 4$ and $j = 3$. Therefore Part (1)(b) of the definition says that $\lambda^{O_Y(1)} = (8, 8, 2, 2)$. Note that the Weyl group element associated with $\lambda$ is $w = (1 < 3 < 4 < 6 < 2)$, and that $w \cdot O_Y(1) = (3 < 4 < 6 < 5 < 2)$ by Lemma 2.6, which is the Weyl group element associated with $\lambda^{O_Y(1)}$.

We also see that $\lambda^{O_Y(2)} = (7, 1, 1)$ and $\lambda^{O_Y(3)} = \emptyset$, in agreement with Lemma 2.6. Pictorially:

Definition 5.11. Let $\lambda \in BC(k, 2n + 1)$ such that $X(\lambda) \subset Z$ (equivalently, $\lambda_1 = 2n + 1 - k$). Then define $\lambda^{O_Z(d)}$ in the following way:

   (1) for $d = 1$ define $\lambda^{O_Z(1)} = (\lambda_1, \lambda_3 - 1, \cdots, \lambda_{k(\lambda)} - 1, 0, \cdots, 0)$;
   (2) for $d > 1$ define $\lambda^{O_Z(d)} = (\lambda^{O_Z(d-1)})^{O_Z(1)}$.

Explicitly, $\lambda^{O_Z(1)}$ is constructed by removing the second row of $\lambda$ as well as a box from all subsequent rows.
Example 5.12. Consider \( \lambda = (10, 10, 3, 1, 1) \in \text{BC}(5, 2 \cdot 7 + 1) \). We see that \( \lambda^{O_Z(1)} = (10, 2) \).

Note that the Weyl group element associated with \( \lambda \) is \( w = (1 < 2 < 7 < 4 < 3) \), and that \( w \cdot O_Z(1) = (1 < 7 < 4 < 3 < 2) \) by Lemma 2.6. As expected \( w \cdot O_Z(1) \) is the Weyl group element associated with \( \lambda^{O_Z(1)} \).

We also see that \( \lambda^{O_Z(d)} = (10) \) for \( d \geq 2 \), in agreement with Lemma 2.6.

5.2. BKT-partitions. In [BKT09] an alternative indexation is introduced for Schubert varieties of odd symplectic Grassmannians, in terms of what the authors call \( k \)-strict partitions, and which we will call BKT-partitions. This is analogous the classical indexation of Schubert varieties of type A Grassmannians using partitions or Young diagrams. In particular, with this indexation the number of boxes in the Young diagram indexing a Schubert variety recovers the codimension of said variety. In [Pec13] a variant of this indexation is extended to the odd symplectic Grassmannian \( X = \text{IG}(k, 2n + 1) \).

Definition 5.13. We say that a partition \( \beta \in \text{Part}(k, 2n + 2) \) is \((n + 1 - k)\)-\textit{strict} if \( \beta_j > \beta_{j+1} \) whenever \( \beta_j > n + 1 - k \). We denote the set of such partitions by \( \text{BKT}(k, 2n + 2) \). We also let \( \text{BKT}^{odd} \) denote the set of partitions in \( \beta \in \text{BKT}(k, 2n + 2) \) such that either \( \beta_j = 2n + 2 - k \) or \( \beta_k \geq 1 \); in other words, if the first column is not full, then the first row must be full. We define

\[
\text{BKT}(k, 2n + 1) := \{ \beta - 1^k \mid \beta \in \text{BKT}(k, 2n + 2) \} \cong \text{BKT}^{odd}.
\]

We call the elements of \( \text{BKT}(k, 2n + 2) \) \textit{BKT-partitions}.

There is a bijection between \( \text{BKT}(k, 2n + 2) \) and the set \( W^P \) of minimal length representatives given by:

- \( \beta \mapsto w \) is defined by \( w(j) = 2n + 3 - k - \beta_j + \# \{ i < j \mid \beta_i + \beta_j \leq 2(n + 1 - k) + j - i \} \),
- \( w \mapsto \beta \) is defined by \( \beta_j = 2n + 3 - k - w(j) + \# \{ i < j \mid w(i) + w(j) > 2n + 3 \} \),

see [BKT09, Proposition 4.3]. Recall that the minimal length representative of the element \( w_0 \) defined in Equation (3) indexes \( \text{IG}(k, 2n + 1) \) as a Schubert variety inside \( \text{IG}(k, 2n + 2) \). Under the bijection above, the coset of \( w_0W_P \) corresponds to the \((n+1-k)\)-\textit{strict} partition \( 1^k := (1, 1, \ldots, 1) \) if \( k < n + 1 \) and to \((k, 0, \ldots, 0)\) if \( k = n + 1 \). The minimal length representatives for odd symplectic permutations \( w \in W^{odd} \) are in bijection with the subset \( \text{BKT}^{odd} \) of \( \text{BKT}(k, 2n + 2) \) consisting of those \((n + 1 - k)\)-\textit{strict} partitions satisfying the additional condition that if \( \beta_k = 0 \) then \( \beta_1 = 2n + 2 - k \).  

The equivalent indexing set \( \text{BKT}(k, 2n + 1) \) is introduced in [Pec13]. It is more convenient in the context of the odd symplectic Grassmannians since the sum of the parts of \( \alpha \in \text{BKT}(k, 2n + 1) \) still indexes the codimension in \( \text{IG}(k, 2n + 1) \) of the corresponding Schubert variety. Namely, for \( \alpha \in \text{BKT}(k, 2n + 1) \) define \( |\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \ldots + \alpha_k \). If \( w \) corresponds to \( \alpha \) then \( \ell(w) = k(2n + 1 - k) - \frac{k(k-1)}{2} - |\alpha| \), i.e. the codimension of the Schubert variety \( X(w) \) in \( X \) equals \( |\alpha| \); see [BKT09, Proposition 4.4] and [Pec13, Section 1.1.1].

Pictorially, the partitions in \( \text{BKT}(k, 2n + 1) \) are obtained by removing the full first column \( 1^k \) from the partitions in \( \text{BKT}(k, 2n + 2) \), regardless of whether a part equal to 0 is present or not.

Example 5.14. Let \( k = 5 \), \( n = 7 \), and \( w = (1 < 6 < 8 < 7 < 2) \in W^{odd} \). Then \( \beta = (\beta_1 \geq \beta_2 \geq \beta_3 \geq \beta_4 \geq \beta_5) \in \text{BKT}(k, 2n + 2) \) is given by \( \beta = (11, 6, 3, 3, 0) \) and the corresponding

\footnote{One word of caution: the Bruhat order does not translate into partition inclusion. For example, \((2n + 2 - k, 0, \ldots, 0) \leq (1, 1, \ldots, 1)\) in the Bruhat order for \( k < n + 1 \).}
partition in $\text{BKT}(k, 2n + 1)$ is $\alpha = (10, 5, 2, 2, -1)$. Pictorially,


Example 5.15. Let $k = n + 1 - 5$, so $\text{IG}(5, 9) \cong \text{IG}(4, 8)$ is the Lagrangian Grassmannian. Then the codimension 0 class is the $(-1)$-strict partition $\alpha = (4, -1, -1, -1, -1) = \begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c} \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline \end{array}$.

Definition 5.16. Let $\alpha \in \text{BKT}(k, 2n + 1)$ where $\alpha_1 < 2n + 1 - k$. Define $\alpha^{O(\gamma)}$ in the following way:

(1) If $\alpha_1 + \alpha_j > 2(n - k) + j - 1$ for all $2 \leq j \leq k$ then define

\[ \alpha^{O(\gamma)}(1) = (\alpha_2 \geq \alpha_3 \geq \cdots \geq \alpha_k \geq 0) \in \text{BKT}(k, 2n + 1); \]

(2) Otherwise, find the smallest $j$ such that $\alpha_1 + \alpha_j \leq 2(n - k) + j - 1$. Define

\[ \alpha^{O(\gamma)}(1) = (\alpha_2 \geq \alpha_3 \geq \cdots \geq \alpha_{j-1} \geq \alpha_j - 1 \geq \cdots \geq \alpha_k - 1 \geq 0) \in \text{BKT}(k, 2n + 1) \]

where $-1$’s are replaced by $0$;

(3) Define $\alpha^{O(\gamma)(d)} = (\alpha^{O(\gamma)(d-1)})^{O(\gamma)}$ for $d > 1$.

Example 5.17. Consider the case $n = 7$ and $k = 6$. Let $w = (6 < 8 < 7 < 5 < 3 < 2) \in W^P \cap W^{odd}$. Then $w \cdot O(\gamma)(1) = (8 < 7 < 5 < 4 < 3 < 2)$ and


This uses part (2) of Definition 5.16 and we have that $j = 4$ since the fourth row is the first occurrence of the red line being to the right of the shaded blue region.

Remark 5.18. Note the similarity between the notation $\alpha^{O(\gamma)}(1)$ above, and the notation $\lambda^{O(\gamma)}(1)$ in Section 5.1; this is because if $\alpha \in \text{BKT}(k, 2n + 1)$ and $\lambda \in \text{BC}(k, 2n + 1)$ correspond to the same Weyl group element $w$, then $\alpha^{O(\gamma)}(1)$ and $\lambda^{O(\gamma)}(1)$ will both correspond to $w \cdot O(\gamma)(1)$.

Let $\alpha \in \text{BKT}(k, 2n + 1)$ and $\ell_i(\alpha) = \max\{j \mid \alpha_j > i\}$.

Definition 5.19. Let $\alpha \in \text{BKT}(k, 2n + 1)$ where $\alpha_1 = 2n + 1 - k$. Define $\alpha^{O_Y(\gamma)}$ in the following way:

(1) For $d = 1$,

\[ \alpha^{O_Y(\gamma)}(1) = (\alpha_2 \geq \alpha_3 \geq \cdots \geq \alpha_{\ell_{d-1}(\alpha)} \geq 0 \geq \cdots \geq 0) \in \text{BKT}(k, 2n + 1); \]

(2) For $d > 1$,

\[ \alpha^{O_Y(\gamma)}(d) = (\alpha^{O_Y(\gamma)}(1))^{O_Y(\gamma)(d-1)} \]

Example 5.20. Consider the case $n = 7$ and $k = 6$. Let $w = (1 < 7 < 8 < 5 < 4 < 3 < 2) \in W^P \cap W^{odd}$. Then $w \cdot O_Y(1) = (7 < 8 < 5 < 4 < 3 < 2)$ and
This uses part (2) of Definition 5.21 and we have that $j = 4$. 

**Definition 5.21.** Let $\alpha \in \text{BKT}(k, 2n + 1)$ where $\alpha_1 = 2n + 1 - k$. Define $\alpha^{OZ(d)}$ in the following way:

1. If $\alpha_2 + \alpha_j > 2(n - k) + j - 2$ for all $3 \leq j \leq k$ then define
   \[ \alpha^{OZ(1)} = (\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_3 \geq \cdots \geq \alpha_k \geq -1) \in \text{BKT}(k, 2n + 1); \]

2. Otherwise, find the smallest $j$ such that $\alpha_2 + \alpha_j \leq 2(n - k) + j - 2$. Define
   \[ \alpha^{OZ(1)} = (\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_3 \geq \cdots \geq \alpha_{j-1} \geq \alpha_j - 1 \geq \cdots \geq \alpha_k - 1 \geq -1) \in \text{BKT}(k, 2n + 1) \]
   where $-2$’s are replaced by $-1$’s;

3. Define $\alpha^{OZ(d)} = (\alpha^{OZ(d-1)})^{OZ(1)}$ for $d > 1$.

**Example 5.22.** Consider the case $n = 7$ and $k = 6$. Let $w = (1 < 7 < 8 < 5 < 4 < 3 < 2) \in W^P \cap W^{\text{odd}}$. Then $w \cdot OZ(1) = (1 < 8 < 5 < 4 < 3 < 2)$ and

This uses part (2) of Definition 5.21 and we have that $j = 4$ since the fourth row is the first occurrence of the red line being to the right of the shaded blue region.

### 5.3. Curve neighborhoods in terms of partitions

We now rephrase Proposition 2.3, Proposition 4.9, and Proposition 4.14 in terms of both $BC$-partitions and $\text{BKT}$-partitions.

From Section 5.1 we see that the set of partitions $\lambda \in \text{BC}(k, 2n + 1)$ with $\lambda_1 < 2n + 1 - k$, denoted by $\text{BC}^c$ and which indexes Schubert varieties of the open $\text{Sp}_{2n+1}$-orbit $X^c$, is in bijection with the elements of $\text{BC}(k, 2n)$, which index Schubert varieties of the $Y$-orbit. Explicitly, the bijection $\text{BC}^c \to \text{BC}(k, 2n)$ is just the identity. Abusing notation we denote it by

\[ \Phi: \text{BC}^c \to \text{BC}(k, 2n), \]

as it is the ‘partitions’ equivalent of the bijection $\Phi: W^c \cap W^P \to W_Y$ from Proposition 2.3.

Similarly, partitions $\lambda \in \text{BC}(k, 2n + 1)$ indexing Schubert varieties of the closed $\text{Sp}_{2n+1}$-orbit $Z$, i.e., those with $\lambda_1 = 2n + 1 - k$, are in bijection with the elements of $\text{BC}(k - 1, 2n)$, which index Schubert varieties of the $Z$-orbit, and the bijection is as follows. If $\lambda \in \text{BC}(k, 2n + 1)$ is such that $\lambda_1 = 2n + 1 - k$ then

\[ \Phi_Z(\lambda) = (\lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_k). \]

For partitions in $\text{BKT}(k, 2n + 1)$ the situation is analogous; we obtain a bijection

\[ \Phi: \text{BKT}^c \to \text{BKT}(k, 2n), \]

where $\text{BKT}^c$ is the set of partitions $\alpha \in \text{BKT}(k, 2n + 1)$ with $\alpha_1 < 2n + 1 - k$, which indexes Schubert varieties of $X^c$. This bijection is simply the identity. We also have that partitions
\( \alpha \in \text{BKT}(k, 2n + 1) \) with \( \alpha_1 = 2n + 1 - k \), which index Schubert varieties of the \( Z \)-orbit, are in bijection with the elements of \( \text{BKT}(k - 1, 2n) \), and the bijection is given by

\[
\Phi_Z(\alpha) = (\alpha_2 + 1 \geq \ldots \geq \alpha_k + 1).
\]

Now Proposition 2.3 can be reformulated.

**Proposition 5.23.** The Schubert varieties of \( \text{IG}(k, 2n+1) \) are related to those of the closed \( \text{Sp}_{2n} \)-orbits \( Y \) and \( Z \) as follows:

- \( X(\lambda) = \pi_Z(p_Y^{-1}(Y(\Phi(\lambda)))) \) if \( \lambda \in \text{BC}^c \) (and similarly for \( \alpha \in \text{BKT}^c \));
- \( X(\lambda) = i_Z(Z(\Phi_Z(\lambda))) \) if \( \lambda \in \text{BC}(k, 2n+1) \) is such that \( \lambda_1 = 2n + 1 - k \) (and similarly for \( \alpha \in \text{BKT}(k, 2n+1) \) with \( \alpha_1 = 2n + 1 - k \)).

The main elements of the proof of the next proposition are in Subsection 7.3.

**Proposition 5.24.** Let \( I \in \{\text{BC}(k, 2n+1), \text{BKT}(k, 2n+1)\} \) and let \( \lambda \in I \).

1. If \( X(\lambda) \cap X^c \neq \emptyset \) then
   \[
   \Gamma_d(X(\lambda)) = X \left( \lambda^{O_Y(d)} \right).
   \]

2. If \( X(\lambda) \subset Z \) then \( \Gamma_d(X(\lambda)) = X \left( \lambda^{O_Y(d)} \right) \cup X \left( \lambda^{O_Z(d)} \right) \). In some cases, \( X \left( \lambda^{O_Z(d)} \right) \subset X \left( \lambda^{O_Y(d)} \right) \).

**Proof.** Part (1) follows from Proposition 4.9 and Lemma 7.3. Precisely, let \( \lambda \in I \) be such that \( X(\lambda) \cap X^c \neq \emptyset \), and denote by \( v \in W^c \cap W^P \) be the corresponding Weyl group element, i.e., \( \Psi_I(\lambda) = v \). By Proposition 4.9 we have \( \Gamma_d(X(v)) = X(v \cdot k \phi^c(1)) \). For \( d = 1 \), Lemma 7.3 implies that \( \Psi_I(\phi^c(1)) = v \cdot k \phi^c(1) \), hence \( \Gamma_1(X(\lambda)) = X \left( \phi^c(1) \right) \). The case \( d > 1 \) follows by induction, given that \( \phi^c(d) = \phi^c(d-1) \cdot k \phi^c(1) \).

For part (2), if \( \lambda \in I \) is such that \( X(\lambda) \subset Z \), denote by \( u \) the corresponding Weyl group element, i.e., \( \Psi_I(\lambda) = u \). By Proposition 4.14 we have \( \Gamma_d(X(u)) = X(u \cdot O_Y(d)) \cup X(u \cdot O_Z(d)) \). For \( d = 1 \), Lemmas 7.5 and 7.8 imply that \( \Psi_I(1) = u \cdot O_Y(1) \), and Lemma 7.4 implies that \( \Psi_I(O_Z(1)) = u \cdot O_Z(1) \), hence the result. The case \( d > 1 \) follows again by induction.

**Corollary 5.25.** Let \( I \in \{\text{BC}(k, 2n+1), \text{BKT}(k, 2n+1)\} \). Let \( \lambda \in I \) where \( X(\lambda) \subset Z \) (equivalently, \( \lambda_1 = 2n + 1 - k \)). Then

\[
\lambda^{O_Y(d)} = \left( \left( \lambda^{O_Z(d_1)} \right)^{O_Y(1)} \right)^{O_Y(d_2)} \text{ where } d_1 + d_2 = d - 1.
\]

**Proof.** This follows immediately from Corollary 3.11 and Lemmas 7.3, 7.4, 7.5, and 7.8.

6. **Classification of Irreducible Components of Curve Neighborhoods**

We will define two sets that will index those partitions in \( \text{BC}(k, 2n+1) \) and \( \text{BKT}(k, 2n+1) \) where the associated curve neighborhoods have two components. We start by introducing notation for this section.

Let \( \ell_i^d(\lambda) = \# \{j > d \mid \lambda_j > i\} \). Explicitly, \( \ell_i^d(\lambda) \) counts, among the \( k-d \) last parts of \( \lambda \), how many are larger than \( i \).

**Definition 6.1.** We define:

\[
\text{Comp}_{\text{BC}}(d) := \{\lambda \in \text{BC}(k, 2n+1) \mid \lambda_1 = 2n + 1 - k, \lambda_{d+1} - \ell_{d+1}^d(\lambda) - d = 2(n + 1 - k)\};
\]

\[
\text{Comp}_{\text{BKT}}(d) := \{\alpha \in \text{BKT}(k, 2n+1) \mid \alpha_1 = 2n + 1 - k, \alpha_{O_Z(d-1)} - \ell_{O_Z(d-1)}^2(\alpha_{O_Z(d-1)}) = 2(n + 1 - k)\}.
\]
Note that if \( I \in \{ \text{BC}(k, 2n + 1), \text{BKT}(k, 2n + 1) \} \) and \( \lambda \notin \text{Comp}_I(d_1) \) for some \( d_1 \geq 1 \), then \( \lambda \notin \text{Comp}_I(d) \) for any \( d \geq d_1 \). The explanation for this fact is geometrical. Namely, if \( X(\lambda) \subset Z \) and \( \Gamma_{d_1}(X(\lambda)) \) has no irreducible component contained in \( Z \), then

\[
\Gamma_d(X(\lambda)) = \Gamma_{d-d_1}(\Gamma_{d_1}(X(\lambda))) = \Gamma_{d-d_1} \left( X \left( \lambda^{O_V(d_1)} \right) \right) = X \left( \left( \lambda^{O_V(d_1)} \right)^{O^c(d-d_1)} \right)
\]

is irreducible by Corollary 5.25.

We now state the main theorem of this section.

**Theorem 6.2.** Let \( I \in \{ \text{BC}(k, 2n + 1), \text{BKT}(k, 2n + 1) \} \). If \( \lambda \in I \) then

\[
\Gamma_d(X(\lambda)) = \begin{cases} 
X \left( \lambda^{O_V(d)} \right) \cup X \left( \lambda^{O_Z(d)} \right) & \text{if } \lambda \in \text{Comp}_I(d) \\
X \left( \lambda^{O_V(d)} \right) & \text{if } \lambda_1 = 2n + 1 - k \text{ and } \lambda \notin \text{Comp}_I(d) \\
X \left( \lambda^{O_Z(d)} \right) & \text{if } \lambda_1 < 2n + 1 - k.
\end{cases}
\]

Moreover, in the first case \( (\lambda \in \text{Comp}_I(d)) \), the Schubert varieties \( X \left( \lambda^{O_V(d)} \right) \) and \( X \left( \lambda^{O_Z(d)} \right) \) form two irreducible components.

**Example 6.3.** Let \( k = 5, n = 7 \), and consider the following partitions in \( \text{BC}(5,15) \):

\[\lambda = (10,9,9,5), \mu = (9,8,8,3,1).\]

We see that \( \lambda \in \text{Comp}_{\text{BC}}(d) \) for \( d = 1, 2 \) but \( \lambda \notin \text{Comp}_{\text{BC}}(d) \) for \( d \geq 3 \). We compute

\[\lambda^{O_V(1)} = (8,8,4,2), \lambda^{O_Z(1)} = (10,8,4),\]

which are indeed incomparable for inclusion, then

\[\lambda^{O_V(2)} = (7,3,1), \lambda^{O_Z(2)} = (10,3),\]

which are still incomparable, and finally

\[\lambda^{O_V(3)} = (2) \subset \lambda^{O_Z(3)} = (10).\]

For \( \mu \) we obtain

\[\mu^{O_V(1)} = (7,7,2), \mu^{O_Z(2)} = (6,1).\]

To prove Theorem 6.2 we require several lemmas, which we now state and prove.

**Lemma 6.4.** If \( d_2 \geq 1 \) then the partition \( \lambda \) is in \( \text{Comp}_{\text{BC}}(d_1 + d_2) \) if and only if \( \lambda^{O_Z(d_1)} \in \text{Comp}_{\text{BC}}(d_2) \).

**Proof.** It follows from the recursive definition of \( \lambda^{O_Z(d)} = (\lambda^{O_Z(d-1)})^{O_Z(1)} \) that it is enough to prove the result for \( d_1 = 1 \). Recall that

\[\lambda^{O_Z(1)} = (2n + 1 - k \geq \lambda_3 - 1 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{\ell(\lambda)} - 1),\]

hence \( \lambda^{O_Z(1)}_{d_2+1} = \lambda_{d_2+2} - 1 \). Moreover, the number of parts of \( \lambda^{O_Z(1)} \) which are at least equal to \( d_2 \) is one less than the number of parts of \( \lambda \) which are at least equal to \( d_2 + 1 \). This translates as

\[\ell^{d_2+1}_{d_2-1}(\lambda^{O_Z(1)}) = \ell^{d_2+2}_{d_2}(\lambda),\]

therefore

\[\lambda^{O_Z(1)}_{d_2+1} - \ell^{d_2+1}_{d_2-1}(\lambda^{O_Z(1)}) - d_2 = \lambda_{d_2+2} - \ell^{d_2+2}_{d_2}(\lambda) - (d_2 + 1).\]

It is now immediate that \( \lambda^{O_Z(1)} \in \text{Comp}_{\text{BC}}(d_2) \) if and only if \( \lambda \in \text{Comp}_{\text{BC}}(d_2 + 1) \). \( \square \)

The next result follows immediately from the recursive definition of \( \text{Comp}_{\text{BKT}}(d) \).
Lemma 6.6. shows that $\text{Comp}_{BC}(d)$ and $\text{Comp}_{BKT}(d)$ index the same Schubert varieties.

**Lemma 6.6.** The following equality holds

$$\{X(\lambda) \subset IG(k, 2n + 1) \mid \lambda \in \text{Comp}_{BC}(d)\} = \{X(\lambda) \subset IG(k, 2n + 1) \mid \lambda \in \text{Comp}_{BKT}(d)\}.$$ 

**Proof.** Let $X(\lambda) \subset IG(k, 2n + 1)$ where $\lambda \in \text{Comp}_{BC}(d)$. By Lemma 6.4 we have $\lambda^{O_Z(d-1)} \in \text{Comp}_{BC}(1)$.

Then $\mu := \lambda^{O_Z(d-1)}$ corresponds to a partition $\beta$ in $\text{BKT}(k, 2n + 1)$, and $\beta = \alpha^{O_Z(d-1)}$ for some $\alpha \in \text{BKT}(k, 2n + 1)$. Explicitly, $\alpha$ is such that $X(\alpha) = X(\lambda)$.

We have $\alpha_1^{O_Z(d-1)} = 2n + 1 - k$, $\alpha_2^{O_Z(d-1)} = \lambda_2^{O_Z(d-1)} - 1$, and $e_{k-1}^a(\alpha^{O_Z(d-1)}) = e_{k}^a(\lambda^{O_Z(d-1)})$. So, $\mu_2^{O_Z(d-1)} - e_{k-1}^a(\alpha^{O_Z(d-1)}) = 2(n + 1 - k)$ implies that $\alpha \in \text{Comp}_{BKT}(d)$. So $X(\lambda) = X(\alpha)$ and the set on the left side of the equality is a subset of the set on the right side of the equality. The reverse inclusion follows by reversing the argument, replacing $\text{BC}$ with $\text{BKT}$ and Lemma 6.4 with Lemma 6.5. The result follows.

**Lemma 6.7.** If $\lambda \in \text{BC}(k, 2n + 1) \setminus \text{Comp}_{BC}(d)$ and $\lambda_1 = 2n + 1 - k$ then $X(\lambda^{O_Z(d-1)}) \subset X(\lambda^{O_Y(d)})$. In particular this implies that $\Gamma_d(X(\lambda))$ is irreducible.

**Proof.** It is easy to show by induction on $d$ that the partition $\lambda^{O_Z(d)}$ is given by

$$\lambda^{O_Z(d)} = (2n + 1 - k \geq \lambda_{2+d} - d \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{k-d+2})^{k-e_{d+2}^a(\lambda)-2}_{k-e_{d+2}^a(\lambda)-2}.$$ 

To find an expression of $\lambda^{O_Y(d)}$ we note that $\lambda^{O_Y(d)} = \left(\lambda^{O_Z(d-1)}\right)^{O_Y(1)}$. As before we find that

$$\lambda^{O_Z(d-1)} = (2n + 1 - k \geq \lambda_{2+d-1} - (d - 1) \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{k-d+1} - (d - 1) > 0 \geq \cdots \geq 0).$$

Therefore the 01-word corresponding to $\lambda^{O_Z(d-1)}$ takes the following form

$$2n-k-\lambda_{d+1}+d \quad 10 \cdots 0 \quad 1 \quad \cdots 10,$$

From Example 5.2 we deduce that

$$2n - k - \lambda_{d+1} + d \geq k - e_{d+1}^a(\lambda) - 2,$$

and since $\lambda \in \text{Comp}_{BC}(d)$ we know that the inequality is strict.

Therefore $\lambda^{O_Z(d-1)}$ is $m$-wingtip symmetric, where $m = k - e_{d+1}^a(\lambda) - 2$. Moreover $D(\lambda^{O_Z(d-1)})$ corresponds to a vertical step at the end of Row $i = e_{d-1}^a(\lambda) + d + 2$ of $\lambda^{O_Z(d-1)}$, and $\lambda_d^{O_Z(d-1)} = 0$. Hence by definition

$$\lambda^{O_Y(d)} = \left(\lambda^{O_Z(d-1)}\right)^{O_Y(1)} = (\lambda_{2+d-1} - d \geq \lambda_{3+d-1} - d \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{k-d+1} - d > 0 \geq \cdots \geq 0).$$

Therefore, all but the first part of $\lambda^{O_Y(d)}$ and $\lambda^{O_Z(d)}$ are identical, and clearly

$$\lambda_1^{O_Y(d)} = \lambda_{d+1} - d < \lambda_1^{O_Z(d)} = 2n + 1 - k,$$

hence $\lambda^{O_Y(d)} \subset \lambda^{O_Z(d)}$. 

\hfill \Box
Lemma 6.8. Let $I \in \{BC(k, 2n + 1), BKT(k, 2n + 1)\}$. Let $\lambda \in I$ such that $X(\lambda) \subset Z$ (equivalently, $\lambda_1 = 2n + 1 - k$). Then
\[
\Gamma_1(X(\lambda)) = \begin{cases} 
X(\lambda^{O_Y(1)}) \cup X(\lambda^{O_Z(1)}) & \text{if } \lambda \in \text{Comp}_I(1) \\
X(\lambda^{O_Y(1)}) & \text{if } \lambda \notin \text{Comp}_I(1).
\end{cases}
\]

Proof. By Lemma 6.6 it suffices to consider the set of partitions BC($k, 2n + 1$). Let $\lambda \in \text{Comp}_{BC}(1)$ be $m$-wingtip symmetric.

The 01-word corresponding to $\lambda$ is of the form
\[
\underbrace{10 \cdots 0}_{2n+1-k-\lambda_2} w_1 w_2 \cdots w_{i-1} 0 \underbrace{1 \cdots 1}_{k-2-\ell_0^{\lambda}(\lambda)} 1 0.
\]

Since
\[
\lambda_2 - \ell_0^2(\lambda) - 1 = 2(n + 1 - k) \quad \text{(equivalently, } 2n + 1 - k - \lambda_2 = k - 2 - \ell_0^2(\lambda)),
\]
looking at the 01-word we see that $m \geq k - \ell_0^2(\lambda)$, hence we can rewrite the 01-word corresponding to $\lambda$ as
\[
\underbrace{10 \cdots 0}_{2n+1-k-\lambda_2} w_1 w_2 \cdots w_{i-1} 0 \underbrace{1 \cdots 1}_{k-2-\ell_0^2(\lambda)} 1 0.
\]

The 01-word corresponding to $\lambda^{O_Y(1)}$ is
\[
\underbrace{00 \cdots 0}_{2n+1-k-\lambda_2} w_1 w_2 \cdots w_{i-1} 1 \underbrace{1 \cdots 1}_{k-2-\ell_0^2(\lambda)} 1 0
\]
and the 01-word corresponding to $\lambda^{O_Z(1)}$ is
\[
\underbrace{10 \cdots 0}_{2n+1-k-\lambda_2} w_1 w_2 \cdots w_{i-1} 0 \underbrace{1 \cdots 1}_{k-2-\ell_0^2(\lambda)} 1 0.
\]

Translating the 01-words into partitions it follows that

1. If $D(\lambda)(\overline{m}) = 1$ corresponds to a vertical step at the end of the $i$th row then
   \[
   \lambda^{O_Y(1)} := (\lambda_2 - 1 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{i-1} - 1 \geq \lambda_i \geq \lambda_{i+1} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{\ell(\lambda)} > 0 \geq \cdots > 0);
   \]
2. If $D(\lambda)(\overline{m}) = 0$ corresponds to a horizontal step at the bottom of the $i$th row and in the $j$th column then
   \[
   \lambda^{O_Y(1)} := (\lambda_2 - 1 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_i - 1 \geq \lambda_j \geq \lambda_{i+1} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{\ell(\lambda)} > 0 \geq \cdots > 0);
   \]
and
\[
\lambda^{O_Z(1)} = (2n + 1 - k \geq \lambda_2 - 1 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{\ell(\lambda)} - 1 \geq 0 \geq \cdots \geq 0).
\]

As $\lambda_1^{O_Y(1)} < \lambda_1^{O_Z(1)}$ and $\ell(\lambda^{O_Y(1)}) = \ell(\lambda^{O_Z(1)})$, the two partitions are not comparable for inclusion and it follows that there are two irreducible curve neighborhood components.

The case where $\lambda \in \text{BC}(k, 2n + 1) \setminus \text{Comp}_{BC}(1)$ follows from Lemma 6.7. □

Theorem 6.9. Let $I \in \{BC(k, 2n + 1), BKT(k, 2n + 1)\}$. Let $\lambda \in I$ such that $X(\lambda) \subset Z$ (equivalently, $\lambda_1 = 2n + 1 - k$). Then
\[
\Gamma_d(X(\lambda)) = \begin{cases} 
X(\lambda^{O_Y(d)}) \cup X(\lambda^{O_Z(d)}) & \lambda \in \text{Comp}_I(d) \\
X(\lambda^{O_Y(d)}) & \lambda \in I \setminus \text{Comp}_I(d)
\end{cases}
\]
Proof. By Lemma 6.6 it suffices to consider the set of partitions $BC(k, 2n + 1)$. By Lemma 6.4 we have that $\lambda \in \text{Comp}_{BC}(d)$ if and only if $\lambda^{O_2(d-1)} \in \text{Comp}_{BC}(1)$. The result for $\lambda \in \text{Comp}_{BC}(d)$ follows from Lemma 6.8 since $\Gamma_d(X(\lambda)) = \Gamma_1(X(\lambda^{O_2(d-1)}))$. The case where $\lambda \in BC(k, 2n + 1) \setminus \text{Comp}_{BC}(d)$ follows from Lemma 6.7. 

\section{Technical Results}

In this section we regroup some results. Two of the results are from literature and the other are proofs that are omitted from their respective sections.

\subsection{Curve neighborhood calculations for the even symplectic Grassmannian.}

Next we will define notation for the statements of the upcoming lemmas. Let $\Gamma_d(Y(\mu))$, for $\mu \in BC(k, 2n)$, denote the degree $d$ curve neighborhood of $X(\mu)$ in $Y \cong IG(k, 2n)$. We define $\Gamma_d(Y(\beta))$ similarly for $\beta \in BKT(k, 2n)$. The next two lemmas follow from [SW20, Theorem 5.18].

\begin{lemma}
Let $\beta \in BKT(k, 2n)$. Then $\Gamma_1(Y(\beta)) = Y(\beta^1)$ where $\beta^1$ is given as follows.
\begin{enumerate}
  \item If $\beta_1 + \beta_j > 2(n - k) + j - 1$ for all $2 \leq j \leq k$ then define

  \[ \beta^1 = (\beta_2 \geq \beta_3 \geq \cdots \geq \beta_k \geq 0) \in BKT(k, 2n); \]

  \item Otherwise, find the smallest $j$ such that $\beta_1 + \beta_j \leq 2(n - k) + j - 1$. Define

  \[ \beta^1 = (\beta_2 \geq \beta_3 \geq \cdots \geq \beta_{j-1} \geq \beta_j - 1 \geq \cdots \geq \beta_k - 1 \geq 0) \in BKT(k, 2n) \]

  where $-1$'s are replaced by 0.
\end{enumerate}
\end{lemma}

\begin{lemma}
Let $\lambda \in BC(k, 2n + 2)$. Then $\Gamma_1(X^{ev}(\lambda)) = X^{ev}(\lambda^1)$ where $\lambda^1$ is given as follows.

\[ \lambda^1 = (\lambda_2 - 1, \ldots, \lambda_{\ell(\lambda)} - 1, 0, \cdots, 0). \]
\end{lemma}

\subsection{Proof of Theorem 3.8.}

Proof. For (1) observe that $w(1) = 1$ and $v(1) > 1$ since $X(w) \subset Z$ and $X(v) \cap X^\circ \neq \emptyset$. We claim that $\alpha = t_1 + t_j$ for some $k + 1 \leq j \leq n + 1$. Indeed, if $j \leq k$ then $w_{s_{t_1+t_j}}(j) = 1$, hence $v$ would not be in $W^{odd}$, and $w_{s_{t_1-t_j}}W_P = wW_P$. It directly follows that $\text{deg} C(w, v) = 1$ since $t_1 - t_j = (t_1 - t_2) + \cdots + 1(t_k - t_{k+1}) + \cdots + (t_{j-1} - t_j)$

and

$t_1 + t_j = (t_1 - t_2) + \cdots + 1(t_k - t_{k+1}) + \cdots + (t_{j-1} - t_j) + 2(t_j - t_{j+1}) + \cdots + 2t_{n+1}.$

Next we prove (2). Let $w = (1 < w(2) < \cdots < w(k))$. There are two cases for $v$:
\begin{enumerate}
  \item there exists an $s$ such that $v$ is the permutation $v = (w(2) < w(3) < \cdots < w(j) < s < w(j + 1) < \cdots < w(k)) \in W_P$;
  \item there exists an $s$ such that $v$ is the permutation $v = (w(2) < w(3) < \cdots < w(k) < s) \in W_P$.
\end{enumerate}

Let $w, v \in W_P$ correspond to $\alpha, \beta \in BKT(k, 2n + 1)$.

For case (a) we break up the proof into two parts, depending on whether $s \geq k$ or $s < k$. If $s \geq k$, as $w(1) = 1$ it immediately follows that $\alpha_{i+1} = \beta_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq j - 1$. Moreover,
Figure 2. Let $k = 5$ and $n = 6$. This is the subgraph of the moment graph of $\text{IG}(5, 13)$ induced by the vertices that index irreducible components of the curve neighborhoods of the Schubert point. We use Weyl group elements and BKT partitions.
for \( j + 1 \leq i \leq k \) we have \( \beta_i \in \{ \alpha_i, \alpha_i + 1 \} \), and \( \beta_j \leq 2n + 2 - k - s + j - 1 \). From this we deduce following chain of inequalities.

\[
|\alpha| - |\beta| = \left( 2n + 1 - k + \sum_{i=2}^{j} \alpha_i + \sum_{i=j+1}^{k} \alpha_i \right) - \left( \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \beta_i + \beta_j + \sum_{i=j+1}^{k} \beta_i \right) \\
= (2n + 1 - k) + \left( \sum_{i=2}^{j} \alpha_i - \sum_{i=j+1}^{j-1} \beta_i \right) + \left( \sum_{i=j+1}^{k} \alpha_i - \sum_{i=j+1}^{k} \beta_i \right) - \beta_j \\
\geq (2n + 1 - k) + 0 + (-k - j) - ((2n + 2 - k - s + j - 1)) \\
\geq s - k.
\]

If \( s < k \) then we have that
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{j} \beta_i = \sum_{i=1}^{j} \alpha_i - s + 1
\]
and
\[
\sum_{i=j+1}^{k} \beta_i \leq \sum_{i=j+1}^{k} \alpha_i + s - 1.
\]

Thus \( |\beta| \leq |\alpha| \), hence \( \ell(v) > \ell(w) \).

Similarly for case (b), as \( w(1) = 1 \) we get \( \alpha_{i+1} = \beta_i \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq k-1 \), and \( \beta_k \leq 2n + 1 - s \).

This time the chain of inequalities is as follows.

\[
|\alpha| - |\beta| = \left( 2n + 1 - k + \sum_{i=2}^{k} \alpha_i \right) - \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \beta_i + \beta_k \right) \\
= (2n + 1 - k) + \left( \sum_{i=2}^{k} \alpha_i - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \beta_i \right) - \beta_k \\
\geq (2n + 1 - k) + 0 - (2n + 1 - s) \\
\geq s - k.
\]

Since \( s \geq k \) in case (b), the result follows. \( \square \)

7.3. Lemmas for Theorem 5.24.

**Lemma 7.3.** Let \( I \in \{ BC(k, 2n+1), BKT(k, 2n+1) \} \). Let \( \lambda \in I \) be such that \( \lambda_1 < 2n + 1 - k \), and denote by \( v \in W^\circ \) the Weyl group element corresponding to \( \lambda \). Then \( \lambda^O(1) \) corresponds to the Weyl group element \( v \cdot \Phi O^\circ(1) \).

**Proof.** From Proposition 4.9 we know that \( \Gamma_1(X(\lambda)) = \Gamma_1(X(v)) = X(v \cdot \Phi O^\circ(1)) \). By Proposition 5.23 we also obtain that

\[
X(v \cdot \Phi O^\circ(1)) = \pi_Z(p_{\gamma}^{-1}(Y(\Phi(v \cdot \Phi O^\circ(1))))).
\]

Recall that \( O^\circ(1) := s_1 \cdots s_{n+1} \cdots s_1 \) (\( = s_{2t_1} \)). Our strategy is to apply Proposition 2.4, letting \( w = s_{t_1} \cdots s_{t_r} \) be a particular representation of \( O^\circ(1) \) as product of simple reflections. As \( s_k^2 = id \) and \( s_k s_i = s_i s_k \) for all \( k + 2 \leq i \leq n + 1 \), the following equality holds:

\[
O^\circ(1) = s_1 \cdots s_{k+1} s_k s_{k+2} \cdots s_{n+1} \cdots s_{k+2} s_k s_{k+1} \cdots s_1 \\
= s_1 \cdots s_{k+1} s_k s_{k+2} \cdots s_{n+1} \cdots s_{k+2} s_k s_{k+1} \cdots s_1.
\]
Moreover, it is an easy exercise to show that the modified Hecke products of \( v \) with either either representation of \( O^v(1) \) are equal, that is,

\[
v \cdot_k s_1 \ldots s_{n+1} \ldots s_1 = v \cdot_k s_1 \ldots s_k s_{k+1} s_{k+2} \ldots s_{n+1} \ldots s_{k+2} s_{k+1} s_k \ldots s_1.
\]

We now apply Proposition 2.4:

\[
\Phi((v \cdot_k O^v(1))W_P) = \Phi((v \cdot_k s_1 \ldots s_k s_{k+1} s_{k+2} \ldots s_{n+1} \ldots s_{k+2} s_{k+1} s_k \ldots s_1)W_P) \\
= \Phi(v \cdot_k \psi(s_1) \ldots \psi(s_n) \ldots \psi(s_1))W_P \\
= (\Phi(vW_P) \cdot s_1 \ldots s_n \ldots s_1)W_Y,
\]

hence by Proposition 4.2 and Corollary 4.3:

\[
\Gamma_1(X(\lambda)) = X((v \cdot_k O^v(1))W_P) = \pi_Z(\Gamma_1(Y(\Phi(vW_P)))).
\]

Rewriting in terms of partitions, as \( \Phi(vW_P) \) corresponds to the same partition as \( vW_P \) the right-hand side becomes \( \pi_Z(\Gamma_1(Y(\lambda))) \). Moreover by Lemma 7.1 and Lemma 7.2 we obtain that \( \Gamma_1(Y(\lambda)) = Y(\Phi(\lambda)^1) \). It follows from the definitions of \( \lambda^{O^v(1)} \) and \( \lambda^1 \) that

\[
\Phi(\lambda)^1 = \Phi(\lambda^{O^v(1)}),
\]

hence \( \Gamma_1(X(\lambda)) = X(\lambda^{O^v(1)}) \) as claimed.

**Lemma 7.4.** Let \( I \in \{BC(k, 2n+1), BKT(k, 2n+1)\} \). Let \( \lambda \in I \) be such that \( \lambda_1 = 2n+1-k \), and denote by \( v \in W^{odd} \cap W_P \) the Weyl group element corresponding to \( \lambda \). Then \( \lambda^{O^v(1)} \) corresponds to the Weyl group element \( v \cdot_k O^v(1) \).

**Proof.** Recall that \( O^v(1) = s_2 \ldots s_{n+1} \ldots s_2 \). Then by Proposition 2.5 we have that

\[
\Phi_Z(v \cdot O^v(1)) = \Phi_Z((v \cdot s_2 \ldots s_{n+1} \ldots s_2)W_P) \\
= (\Phi_Z(vW_P) \cdot \frac{s_1 \ldots s_n \ldots s_1}{s_{2t_1} \text{ in } IG(k-1, 2n)})W_Z.
\]

The left-hand side corresponds to the partition \( \Phi_Z(\lambda)^1 \) by Proposition 4.2 and Corollary 4.3, while Lemma 7.1 and Lemma 7.2 tell us that this partition indexes the curve neighborhood \( \Gamma_1(Z(\lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_k)) \) for \( \lambda \in BC(k, 2n+1) \) (respectively, \( \Gamma_1(Z(\alpha_2 + 1, \ldots, \alpha_k + 1)) \) for \( \alpha \in BKT(k, 2n+1) \)). By definition of \( \lambda^{O^v(1)} \) and \( \lambda^1 \) it is then clear that

\[
\Phi_Z(\lambda)^1 = \Phi_Z(\lambda^{O^v(1)}),
\]

hence \( \lambda^{O^v(1)} \) indexes the closed orbit component of \( \Gamma_1(\lambda) \) as claimed.

**Lemma 7.5.** Let \( \lambda \in BC(k, 2n+1) \) be such that \( \lambda_1 = 2n+1-k \), and denote by \( w \in W^{odd} \cap W_P \) the Weyl group element corresponding to \( \lambda \). Then \( \lambda^{O_Y(1)} \) corresponds to \( w \cdot O_Y(1) \) in \( W \).

**Proof.** Let \( \lambda \in BC(k, 2n+1) \) be \( m \)-wingtip symmetric. Denote by \( \lambda^1 \) the BC-partition corresponding to \( w \cdot O_Y(1) \), see Lemma 2.6, and by \( D(\lambda^1) \) the associated 01-word. We will show that \( D(\lambda^1) = D(\lambda^{O_Y(1)}) \).

First suppose that \( D(\lambda)(\overline{m}) = 0 \) corresponds to a horizontal step at the bottom of the \( i \)th row and in the \( j \)th column. Note that we cannot have \( D(\lambda)(\overline{m+1}) = 1 \), otherwise by definition of BC-partitions we would need to have \( D(\lambda)(m+1) = 0 \) and \( \lambda \) would be
We will prove the four statements next.

Proof. Let \( \lambda \) correspond to deleting the top row, as well as a box in each of the subsequent \( m \) characters of the last \( i \) symmetric. So we have that \( \lambda \) forces \( p \). Indeed, taking the degree one curve neighborhood in \( \text{Gr} \), the introduction in \( D(\lambda^1) \) of the character 1 in the \( m+1 \) position forces \( \lambda^1_i = \lambda_i \).

Now suppose instead that \( D(\lambda)(\overline{m}) = 1 \) corresponds to a vertical step at the end of the \( i \)th row of \( \lambda \). As before we must have \( D(\lambda)(m+1) = 0 \), otherwise \( \lambda \) would not be \( m \)-wingtip symmetric. So we have that

\[
D(\lambda) = \underbrace{1D(\lambda)(2) \cdots D(\lambda)(m+2)}_{\text{first } 2n + 2 - m \text{ characters}} 0 D(\lambda)(m+1) \cdots D(\lambda)(2) 0;
\]

\[
D(\lambda^1) = \underbrace{0D(\lambda)(2) \cdots D(\lambda)(m+2)}_{\text{first } 2n + 2 - m \text{ characters}} 1 0 D(\lambda)(m+1) \cdots D(\lambda)(1).
\]

Observe that the first \( 2n + 2 - m \) characters correspond to taking the curve neighborhood in \( \text{Gr}(i, 2n + 2 - m) \). Indeed, taking the degree one curve neighborhood in \( \text{Gr}(i, 2n + 2 - m) \) corresponds to deleting the top row, as well as a box in each of the subsequent \( i - 1 \) rows. Moreover the last rows of \( \lambda \), corresponding to the last \( m \) characters of the 01-word \( D(\lambda) \), remain the same in \( \lambda^1 \). The introduction in \( D(\lambda^1) \) of the character 1 in the \( m+1 \) position forces \( \lambda^1_i = \lambda_i \).

Therefore in either case, \( D(\lambda)^1 = D(\lambda_\alpha^0(1)) \), and the result follows.

\[ \square \]

Lemma 7.6. Let \( w \) be an element of \( \mathcal{W}^{odd} \cap \mathcal{W}^P \) with \( w(1) = 1 \) and \( \alpha \in \text{BKT}(k, 2n + 1) \) be the corresponding BKT-partition. We consider the following cases for \( w \):

1. If \( w = (1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_k) \) is such that \( a_k \leq n + 1 \), then \( \alpha_k \geq 0 \);
2. If \( w = (1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_r < a_k < \cdots < a_{r+1}) \) is such that \( \{2, 3, \ldots, a_{r+1}\} \not\subset \{a_2, \ldots, a_k\} \), then \( \alpha_k \geq 0 \);
3. If \( w = (1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_r < a_k < \cdots < a_{r+1}) \) is such that \( \{2, 3, \ldots, a_k\} \subset \{a_2, \ldots, a_k\} \), then \( \alpha_r \geq 0 \) and \( \alpha_{r+1} = -1 \);
4. If \( w = (1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_r < a_k < \cdots < a_{t+1} < a_t < \cdots < a_{r+1}) \) is such that there exists a largest index \( t < k \) such that \( \{2, 3, \ldots, a_t\} \subset \{a_2, \ldots, a_k\} \), denote by \( s \) the index such that \( w(s-1) = a_{t+1}, w(s) = a_t \). Then \( \alpha_{s-1} \geq 0 \) and \( \alpha_s = -1 \).

Proof. We will prove the four statements next.

1. Since \( w(j) \leq n + 1 \) for any \( j \leq k \) in this case, we get that \( \alpha_k = 2n + 2 - k - w(k) \geq 0 \) as claimed.
2. We have

\[
\alpha_k = a_{r+1} - r - 2 + \#\{2 \leq i \leq r \mid a_i > a_{r+1}\}.
\]

Let \( 1 \leq s \leq r \) be the largest index such that \( a_s < a_{r+1} \). Then \( \alpha_k = a_{r+1} - s - 2 \). As \( a_{r+1} \) is larger than 1, \( a_2, \ldots, a_s \), which are all distinct elements in \( \{1, 2, 3, \ldots, n + 1\} \), we deduce that \( a_{r+1} \geq s + 1 \), with equality only possible if \( a_i = i \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq s \). However, if that equality holds then \( \{2, 3, \ldots, a_{r+1}\} = \{2, 3, \ldots, s + 1\} = \{a_2, \ldots, a_s, a_{r+1}\} \), contradicting our assumption that \( \{2, 3, \ldots, a_{r+1}\} \not\subset \{a_2, \ldots, a_k\} \). Therefore \( a_{r+1} \geq s + 2 \), hence \( \alpha_k \geq 0 \) as claimed.
(3) We have
\[ \alpha_r = 2n + 2 - k - a_r \geq 0 \]
\[ \alpha_{r+1} = a_k - k - 1 + \#\{2 \leq i \leq r \mid a_i > a_k\}. \]
Let \(1 \leq s \leq r\) be the largest index such that \(a_s < a_k\). Then \(\alpha_{r+1} = a_k - k - 1 + (r-s)\). Furthermore we have that \(s + k - r = a_k\) since \(a_i \leq a_k\) for all \(r+1 \leq i \leq k\). Thus, \(\alpha_{r+1} = -1\).

(4) We begin with
\[ \alpha_s = a_t - t - 1 + \#\{2 \leq i \leq r \mid a_i > a_t\}. \]
Let \(1 \leq j \leq r\) be the largest index such that \(a_j < a_t\). Then
\[ \alpha_s = a_t - t - 1 + (r - j). \]
We also have that \(a_t = j + (t - r)\) since \(a_i \leq a_t\) for all \(r+1 \leq i \leq t\). Thus, \(\alpha_s = -1\).
Next we consider
\[ \alpha_{s-1} = a_{t+1} - t - 2 + \#\{2 \leq i \leq r \mid a_i > a_{t+1}\}. \]
Let \(j' = \#\{2 \leq i \leq r \mid a_t < a_i < a_{t+1} - 1\}\). Then \(a_{t+1} \geq a_t + j' + 2\) and
\[ \alpha_{s-1} = a_{t+1} - t - 2 + (r - j' - j). \]
Then
\[ \alpha_{s-1} = a_{t+1} - t - 2 + (r - j' - j) \geq (a_t + j' + 2) - t - 2 + (r - j' - j) = a_t - t + r - j = 0. \]
The result follows. \(\square\)

**Lemma 7.7.** Let \(w\) be an element of \(W^{\text{odd}} \cap W^P\) with \(w(1) > 1\) and \(\alpha \in \text{BKT}(k, 2n + 1)\) be the corresponding BKT-partition. We consider the following cases for \(w\):

1. If \(w = (a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_k)\) is such that \(a_k \leq n + 1\), then \(\alpha_k \geq 1\);
2. If \(w = (a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_r < \tilde{a}_k < \cdots < \tilde{a}_{r+1})\) is such that \(\{2, 3, \ldots, a_{r+1}\} \cap \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k\} \neq \emptyset\), then \(\alpha_k \geq 1\);
3. If \(w = (a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_r < \tilde{a}_k < \cdots < \tilde{a}_{r+1})\) is such that \(\{2, 3, \ldots, a_k\} \subset \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k\}\), then \(\alpha_{r+1} = 0\), and \(\alpha_k = 0\);
4. If \(w = (a_1 < \cdots < a_r < \tilde{a}_k < \cdots < \tilde{a}_{t+1} < \tilde{a}_t < \cdots < \tilde{a}_{r+2} < \tilde{a}_{r+1})\) and \(t\) is the largest index such that \(\{2, 3, \ldots, a_t\} \subset \{a_1, \ldots, a_k\}\). Choose the index \(s\) such that \(w(s) = \tilde{a}_t\). If \(w \mapsto \alpha \in \text{BKT}(k, 2n + 1)\) then \(\alpha_s = 0\) and \(\alpha_k = 0\).

**Proof.** We prove the four parts next.

1. First observe that if \(k = n + 1\) then \(a_1 = 1\). Thus we must have that \(k < n + 1\). It follows that \(\alpha_k = 2n + 2 - k - w(k) \geq 1\) as claimed.
2. We have
\[ \alpha_k = a_{r+1} - r - 2 + \#\{1 \leq i \leq r \mid a_i > a_{r+1}\}. \]
Let \(1 \leq s \leq r\) be the largest index such that \(a_s < a_{r+1}\). Then \(\alpha_k = a_{r+1} - s - 2\). As \(a_{r+1}\) is larger than \(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_s\), which are all distinct elements in \(\{2, 3, \ldots, n + 1\}\), we deduce that \(a_{r+1} \geq s + 2\), with equality only possible if \(a_i = i + 1\) for \(1 \leq i \leq s\). However, if that equality holds then \(\{2, 3, \ldots, a_{r+1}\} = \{2, 3, \ldots, s + 2\} = \)
Lemma 7.8. Let $\alpha \in \text{BKT}(k, 2n + 1)$ be such that $\alpha_1 = 2n + 1 - k$, and let $w \in W^{odd} \cap W^P$ be the Weyl group element corresponding to $\alpha$. Then $\alpha^{O_Y(1)}$ corresponds to $w \cdot O_Y(1)$.

Proof. Let $w = (1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_r < a_k < \cdots < a_{r+1}) \in W^{odd} \cap W^P$. Then by Lemma 2.6

$$w \cdot O_Y(1) = (w(2) < \cdots < \tilde{j}_Y < \cdots < w(k)),$$

where

$$\tilde{j}_Y = \min\{2, \ldots, n + 1\} \setminus \{a_2, \ldots, a_k\},$$

Recall that $\tilde{j}_Y$ is possibly smaller than $w(2), w(3)$ or larger than $w(k)$. Denote by $s$ the integer such that $(w \cdot O_Y(1))(s) = \tilde{j}_Y$.

By Lemma 7.6 we deduce that $\alpha_{s-1} \geq 0$ and $\alpha_s = -1$. By definition of $w \cdot O_Y(1)$,

$$\#\{i < j + 1 \mid w(i) + w(j + 1) < 2n + 3\} = \#\{i < j \mid (w \cdot O_Y(1))(i) + (w \cdot O_Y(1))(j) < 2n + 3\}$$

for $1 \leq j \leq s - 1$. It follows that $\alpha^{O_Y(1)} = \alpha_{j+1} \geq 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq s - 1$. By Lemma 7.7, we have that $\alpha_j = 0$ for $s \leq j \leq k$. The result follows. $\square$
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