# Developing 4<sup>th</sup> Graders Multiplicative Thinking

Michelle Ott and Jasmine Murray Faculty Mentor: Dr. Jennifer Bergner

# The Problem: Timed Tests, Drills and Flashcards

- Many individuals recall "learning" multiplication by memorizing facts with the use of:
  - Timed tests
  - Drills
  - Flash cards
- Students who have "learned" multiplication this way often do not retain what they have memorized and lack the ability to regenerate forgotten facts
- Educators who teach multiplication in this way try to move their students rapidly toward determining answers at the expense of helping them reason deeply about the meaning of multiplication (Kling & Bay-Williams, 2015).

# Our Purpose and Research Question

### Purpose:

- To explore the way in which students think about multiplication
- To intervene to help them develop their mathematical proficiency and a functional conceptualization of multiplication.

### **Research Question:**


 How can students' mathematical proficiency be developed for multiplication?

# Conceptualization of Mathematical Proficiency

Strategic Competence (SC): Ability to formulate, represent, & solve problems

Adaptive Reasoning (AR): Uses logical thought, reflects, & explains/justifi es thinking


Conceptual **Understanding** (CU): Comprehension of concepts, operations, & relationships



**Productive** 

**Procedural** Fluency (PF): Ability to carry out procedures appropriately, accurately, efficiently, & flexibly

Mathematical proficiency can be conceptualized by the five, interwoven strands, each of which must work together to attain proficiency (National Research Council, 2001).



# Ideas from Literature Integrated In Our Study

# "Three Steps to Mastering Multiplication Facts"

(Kling & Bay-Williams, 2015)

- Students must progress through three phases to master multiplication: model/count to find answer, derive answer using reasoning strategies/known facts, and mastery
- Encourage strategic thinking and using known facts
- Use area model to encourage decomposing factors

# "Conceptualizing Division with Remainders"

(Lamberg & Wiest, 2012)

- Have students create/solve their own story problems - requires them to think about the meaning of the operations they want the "solver" to use
- Physically manipulating objects helps students understand meanings of operations (division)

# CCSS Learning Progression for Multiplication

The Common Core State Standards (CCSS) Writing Team (2011) outlined how a student's learning progresses with regard to multiplication. Students' solutions and multiplicative development can be categorized into the following three levels

Level 1: Students count and/or represent the entire amount in the multiplication task.


Level 2: Students use skip counting to solve tasks.

Level 3: Students use higher level multiplicative properties to create and break down problems

# CCSS Learning Progression for Multiplication

Confrey et al. (2012) developed 18 student learning trajectories for the Common Core State Standards for Mathematics

(National Governor's Association for Best Practices & Council of Chief State School Officers, 2010).




# Methodology

## **Participants**

- # of participants: 4
- Genders: 2 girls, 2 boys
- <u>Pseudonyms for students</u>: Elliott, Megan, Piper, Trevor
- Grade Level: Incoming 4th grade students
- <u>Participation Rate</u>: 100% attendance for all 4 students
- Sessions:
- Pre-assessment (30 minute clinical interview for each student)
- Seven 1-hour instructional sessions
- Post-assessment (30 minute clinical interview for each student)

### Procedure

Each week, we used the following procedure in our effort to help each student make progress along the trajectory and towards mathematical proficiency in multiplication.



# Methodology

### Pre and Post Interview: Key Tasks

A pan of brownies that is twelve inches in one direction and two inches in the other is cut into one-inch square pieces.

Draw a picture/use a manipulative to show pan of brownies once it has been cut. Without counting 1-by-1, how many brownies are there?

On a school field trip, 72 students will be traveling in 9 vans. Each van will hold an equal number of students. The equation shows a way to determine the number of students that will be in each van.

$$72 \div 9 = ?$$

This equation can be rewritten using a different operation. Place the operation and number pieces we will provide you in the proper boxes.





Suppose there are 4 tanks and 3 fish in each tank. The total number of fish in this situation can be expressed as 4x3=12.

- a. What is meant in this situation by  $12 \div 3 = 4$ ?
- b. What is meant in this situation by  $12 \div 4 = 3$ ?

# Methodology

# **Data Gathering**

- Video recorded and transcribed all sessions
- Collected and archived all written work from students

# **Analysis**

- Coded transcripts using five strands of proficiency
- Summarized strengths, weaknesses, & made conjectures about how to address weaknesses during next session
- Evaluated students' progress with respect to trajectory

# Pre-Assessment

All students had attained Level 1 with regards to their multiplicative development and some exhibited Level 2 and 3 reasoning. When determining the product, Piper had to count 1-by-1, while others recalled their multiplication facts or fluently used skip counting to determine the solution. The automatic fact recall did not appear to be consistently accompanied by CU as students had difficulty making the connection between the computed product and the visual representation.

#### **Array Model**:

All students struggled with the brownie problem (see above).

- Had to count 1-by-1 to solve
- AR weaknesses (e.g. struggled to apply reasoning used to draw a picture to construct a model and vice versa)
- Difficulty representing with base 10 blocks



Michelle Ott: Ok, now, could you represent that as a multiplication number sentence like we did in the last problem?

Megan: Like, 12 times 2 maybe.

Megan demonstrated SC, PF, and CU weaknesses. Using the picture, she said there were 14 brownies. Using the base 10 blocks, she said there were 24.

### **Conceptualizing of Division:**

One of the most striking observations was Piper's difficulties with division. She said she was familiar with division, but she had difficulty with each strand.



School Field Trip problem (see above): Piper arranged the pieces as depicted above. She could not explain why she did this. She demonstrated SC, PF, SC, and AR weakness with regard to division.

3.0A.3: Solve x and + word problems involving equal groups, arrays, & measurement quantities **Egg Cartons &** Multiplication Students had difficulty with array model during interview. To begin our study of multiplication, we used ideas from NCTM Illuminations lesson (Willman, 2015). Students designed rectangular egg cartons to hold 24 eggs Wrote a multiplication number sentence for each carton. Lesson 2: Egg Cartons & Division We wanted to provide students with the opportunity to relate the cartons to division and Lesson 5: develop CU of the relationship between multiplication and division. Students given story problems describing cartons with X number of rows. Egg packaging Trail Mix company had to fit exactly 24 eggs in each carton (24 eggs divided into X rows) Selected and shared multiplication and division number sentences for each carton Discussed and reasoned to determine who was correct Lesson 3: Create Your Own Division Story Problems During lesson 2, Piper had difficulty with each strand of proficiency. Individualization needed. We will revisit arrays after more understanding has been attained. Students needed work with various division contexts, not just arrays. Lamberg and Weist (2012) emphasized the importance of having students physically divide objects and using the objects to write story problems for various contexts. Each student given two bags of manipulatives & used objects to write + word problems Solved and checked their work using objects Partner solved problem Lesson 4: How many batches of cookies can we make? Megan, Piper, and Trevor showed CU of division. They accurately wrote problems involving "sharing." Trevor lacked the ability to stop and reflect (AR). Elliott lacked CU. He had difficulty describing a context. More exposure to division contexts was needed. Sinnone of the students wrote "measurement" problems, we decided to use a context that measuring naturally occurs - baking cookies. Each student was assigned an ingredient and determined how many batches they could make using the amount of each ingredient

needed for 1 batch and

amount in the "Class Kitchen" 4.0A.1: Interpret multiplicative 3.0A.B: Relate multiplication to

Students showed CU and PF.

and use distributive property.

Found area of gardens

by decomposing

They were ready to readdress arrays an

them develop ability to decompose factor

ncorporate area. We used Kling and Bay-Williams

2015) ideas to relate multiplication to area and help

Students were successful during esson 4. Piper showed PF, SC, Area & Gardens and CU of division, Elliott nterpreted context and used division appropriately. Students were ready to learn new application of multiplication-MC It was important that we used comparisons that were natural from a multiplicative stance Nunes & Bryant, 1999).

Interpreted and used MCs to make trail mixes using manipulatives

**CAPSTONE EXPERIENCE** 3.OA.7: Solve 2-step word problems with

+, - , x, ÷

Lesson 7: Party Planning

We wanted to incorporate each of the previous standards and allow the students to use a strategy of their choice. Previously, students did not have to reason when to use each operation because the focus was either x or +. We decided to have students engage in such reasoning by solving multistep/multi-operation word problems. We based many of our problems on examples in the Common Core State Standards Writing Team's (2011) progressions document.

 Cake: found the area of a sheet cake and determined the number of slices after being cut -Goodie bags and balloons: solved multi-step/multi-operation story problems relating to the objects in the bags and the birthday balloons

3.0A.3: Solve x and + word problems involving equal groups, arrays, & measurement quantities **Egg Cartons &** Multiplication Students had difficulty with array model during interview. To begin our study of multiplication, we used ideas from NCTM Illuminations lesson (Willman, 2015). Students designed rectangular egg cartons to hold 24 eggs Wrote a multiplication number sentence for each carton. Lesson 2: Egg Cartons & Division We wanted to provide students with the opportunity to relate the cartons to division and Lesson 5: develop CU of the relationship between multiplication and division. Students given story problems describing cartons with X number of rows. Egg packaging Trail Mix company had to fit exactly 24 eggs in each carton (24 eggs divided into X rows) Selected and shared multiplication and division number sentences for each carton Discussed and reasoned to determine who was correct Lesson 3: Create Your Own Division Story Problems During lesson 2, Piper had difficulty with each strand of proficiency. Individualization needed. We will revisit arrays after more understanding has been attained. Students needed work with various division contexts, not just arrays. Lamberg and Weist (2012) emphasized the importance of having students physically divide objects and using the objects to write story problems for various contexts. Each student given two bags of manipulatives & used objects to write + word problems Solved and checked their work using objects Partner solved problem Lesson 4: How many batches of cookies can we make? Megan, Piper, and Trevor showed CU of division. They accurately wrote problems involving "sharing." Trevor lacked the ability to stop and reflect (AR). Elliott lacked CU. He had difficulty describing a context. More exposure to division contexts was needed. Sinnone of the students wrote "measurement" problems, we decided to use a context that measuring naturally occurs - baking cookies. Each student was assigned an ingredient and determined how many batches they could make using the amount of each ingredient

needed for 1 batch and

amount in the "Class Kitchen" 4.0A.1: Interpret multiplicative 3.0A.B: Relate multiplication to

Students showed CU and PF.

and use distributive property.

Found area of gardens

by decomposing

They were ready to readdress arrays an

them develop ability to decompose factor

ncorporate area. We used Kling and Bay-Williams

2015) ideas to relate multiplication to area and help

Students were successful during esson 4. Piper showed PF, SC, Area & Gardens and CU of division, Elliott nterpreted context and used division appropriately. Students were ready to learn new application of multiplication-MC It was important that we used comparisons that were natural from a multiplicative stance Nunes & Bryant, 1999).

Interpreted and used MCs to make trail mixes using manipulatives

**CAPSTONE EXPERIENCE** 3.OA.7: Solve 2-step word problems with

+, - , x, ÷

Lesson 7: Party Planning

We wanted to incorporate each of the previous standards and allow the students to use a strategy of their choice. Previously, students did not have to reason when to use each operation because the focus was either x or +. We decided to have students engage in such reasoning by solving multistep/multi-operation word problems. We based many of our problems on examples in the Common Core State Standards Writing Team's (2011) progressions document.

 Cake: found the area of a sheet cake and determined the number of slices after being cut -Goodie bags and balloons: solved multi-step/multi-operation story problems relating to the objects in the bags and the birthday balloons

3.0A.3: Solve x and + word problems involving equal groups, arrays, & measurement quantities **Egg Cartons &** Multiplication Students had difficulty with array model during interview. To begin our study of multiplication, we used ideas from

NCTM Illuminations lesson (Willman, 2015). Students designed rectangular egg cartons to hold 24 eggs

Wrote a multiplication number sentence for each carton.

#### Lesson 2: Egg Cartons & Division

We wanted to provide students with the opportunity to relate the cartons to division and develop CU of the relationship between multiplication and division.

- Students given story problems describing cartons with X number of rows. Egg packaging company had to fit exactly 24 eggs in each carton (24 eggs divided into X rows)
- Selected and shared multiplication and division number sentences for each carton Discussed and reasoned to determine who was correct

#### Lesson 3: Create Your Own Division Story Problems

During lesson 2, Piper had difficulty with each strand of proficiency. Individualization needed. We will revisit arrays after more understanding has been attained. Students needed work with various division contexts, not just arrays. Lamberg and Weist (2012) emphasized the importance of having students physically divide objects and using the objects to write story problems for various contexts.

- Each student given two bags of manipulatives & used objects to write + word problems
- Solved and checked their work using objects
- Partner solved problem

#### Lesson 4: How many batches of cookies can we make?

Megan, Piper, and Trevor showed CU of division. They accurately wrote problems involving "sharing." Trevor lacked the ability to stop and reflect (AR). Elliott lacked CU. He had difficulty describing a context. More exposure to division contexts was needed. Sinnone of the students wrote "measurement" problems, we decided to use a context that measuring naturally occurs - baking cookies.

- Each student was assigned an ingredient and determined
- how many batches they could make
- using the amount of each ingredient
- needed for 1 batch and
- amount in the "Class Kitchen"

boards to each other. This is what we're going to be doing, today. Whenever we ask a question, you'll answer it on the whiteboard. Then, you're going to share it with everyone in the group. (Reads Megan's board) 12 divided by 6 equals 2. Piper?


Piper: (shrugs shoulders)

MO: You didn't get a number sentence?

Piper: No (shakes head)

MO: Now, you have 12 eggs and we want to divide it into 2 gro

Lesson 2 Work Sample: Piper demonstrated SC, PF, and CU weaknesses. She was the only student who had extreme difficulty representing the problems symbolically.



Lesson 3 Work Sample: Megan demonstrated CU of division. She wrote a "sharing" division word problem.



Lesson 3 Work Sample: Trevor demonstrated an AR weakness. He is very procedurally fluent, but fails to reflect on his number selection. His arrangement does not match his context.

Trevor: - I was thinking like somebody's name has 44 pencils and wants to figure out if he can make 11 groups.



Lesson 4 Work Sample: Piper demonstrated PF, SC, and CU by accurately representing and solving the problem.

3.0A.3: Solve x and + word problems involving equal groups, arrays, & measurement quantities **Egg Cartons &** Multiplication Students had difficulty with array model during interview. To begin our study of multiplication, we used ideas from NCTM Illuminations lesson (Willman, 2015). Students designed rectangular egg cartons to hold 24 eggs Wrote a multiplication number sentence for each carton. Lesson 2: Egg Cartons & Division We wanted to provide students with the opportunity to relate the cartons to division and Lesson 5; develop CU of the relationship between multiplication and division. Students given story problems describing cartons with X number of rows, Egg packaging Trail Mix company had to fit exactly 24 eggs in each carton (24 eggs divided into X rows) Selected and shared multiplication and division number sentences for each carton Discussed and reasoned to determine who was correct Lesson 3: Create Your Own Division Story Problems During lesson 2, Piper had difficulty with each strand of proficiency. Individualization needed. We will revisit arrays after more understanding has been attained. Students needed work with various division contexts, not just arrays. Lamberg and Weist (2012) emphasized the importance of having students physically divide objects and using the objects to write story problems for various contexts. Each student given two bags of manipulatives & used objects to write + word problems Solved and checked their work using objects Interpreted and used MCs to Partner solved problem make trail mixes using Lesson 4: How many batches of cookies can we make? manipulatives Megan, Piper, and Trevor showed CU of division. They accurately wrote problems involving "sharing." Trevor lacked the ability to stop and reflect (AR). Elliott lacked CU. He had difficulty describing a context. More exposure to division contexts was needed. Sinnone of the students wrote "measurement" problems, we decided to use a context that measuring naturally occurs - baking cookies. Each student was assigned an ingredient and determined how many batches they could make using the amount of each ingredient

needed for 1 batch and

amount in the "Class Kitchen" 4. OA. 1: Interpret multiplicative Comparisons (MC)

Using MCs to Make

Is ging Irall Mix
Students were successful during lesson 4. Piper showed PF, SC, and CU of division. Elliott interpreted context and used division appropriately. Students were ready to learn new application of multiplication MC It was important that we used to make in the modern of multiplication make it was important that we used to make it was important that we used them develop ability to decompose factors and use distributive property.

Found area of gurdent by decomposing

#### CAPSTONE EXPERIENCE 3.OA.7: Solve 2-step word problems with

+, - , x, ÷

Lesson 7: Party Planning
We wanted to incorporate each of
the previous standards and allow
the students to use a strategy of
their choice. Previously, students
did not have to reason when to
use each operation because the
focus was either x or ÷. We
decided to have students engage
in such reasoning by solving multistep/multi-operation word
problems. We based many of our
problems on examples in the
Common Core State Standards

- Cake: found the area of a sheet cake and determined the number of slices after being cut
-Goodie bags and balloons: solved multi-step/multi-operation story problems relating to the objects in the bags and the birthday balloons

Writing Team's (2011)

progressions document.




4.0A.1: Interpret multiplicative

Lesson 5:
Using MCs to Make

Trail Mix
Students were successful during lesson 4. Piper showed PF, SC, and CU of division. Elliott interpreted context and used division appropriately. Students were ready to learn new application of multiplication-MC It was important that we used comparisons that were natural from a multiplicative stance (Nunes & Bryant, 1999).

- Interpreted and used MCs to

make trail mixes using manipulatives Lesson 5 Work Sample: One recipe called for "three times as many pretzels as M&Ms (1 cup)." Megan demonstrated PF by accurately interpreting the MC and determining the correct number of cups of pretzels.



3.0A.3: Solve x and + word problems involving equal groups, arrays, & measurement quantities **Egg Cartons &** Multiplication Students had difficulty with array model during interview. To begin our study of multiplication, we used ideas from NCTM Illuminations lesson (Willman, 2015). Students designed rectangular egg cartons to hold 24 eggs Wrote a multiplication number sentence for each carton. Lesson 2: Egg Cartons & Division We wanted to provide students with the opportunity to relate the cartons to division and Lesson 5; develop CU of the relationship between multiplication and division. Students given story problems describing cartons with X number of rows, Egg packaging Trail Mix company had to fit exactly 24 eggs in each carton (24 eggs divided into X rows) Selected and shared multiplication and division number sentences for each carton Discussed and reasoned to determine who was correct Lesson 3: Create Your Own Division Story Problems During lesson 2, Piper had difficulty with each strand of proficiency. Individualization needed. We will revisit arrays after more understanding has been attained. Students needed work with various division contexts, not just arrays. Lamberg and Weist (2012) emphasized the importance of having students physically divide objects and using the objects to write story problems for various contexts. Each student given two bags of manipulatives & used objects to write + word problems Solved and checked their work using objects Interpreted and used MCs to Partner solved problem make trail mixes using Lesson 4: How many batches of cookies can we make? manipulatives Megan, Piper, and Trevor showed CU of division. They accurately wrote problems involving "sharing." Trevor lacked the ability to stop and reflect (AR). Elliott lacked CU. He had difficulty describing a context. More exposure to division contexts was needed. Sinnone of the students wrote "measurement" problems, we decided to use a context that measuring naturally occurs - baking cookies. Each student was assigned an ingredient and determined how many batches they could make using the amount of each ingredient

needed for 1 batch and

amount in the "Class Kitchen" 4. OA. 1: Interpret multiplicative Comparisons (MC)

Using MCs to Make

Is ging Irall Mix
Students were successful during lesson 4. Piper showed PF, SC, and CU of division. Elliott interpreted context and used division appropriately. Students were ready to learn new application of multiplication MC It was important that we used to make in the modern of multiplication make it was important that we used to make it was important that we used them develop ability to decompose factors and use distributive property.

Found area of gurdent by decomposing

#### CAPSTONE EXPERIENCE 3.OA.7: Solve 2-step word problems with

+, - , x, ÷


Lesson 7: Party Planning
We wanted to incorporate each of
the previous standards and allow
the students to use a strategy of
their choice. Previously, students
did not have to reason when to
use each operation because the
focus was either x or ÷. We
decided to have students engage
in such reasoning by solving multistep/multi-operation word
problems. We based many of our
problems on examples in the
Common Core State Standards

- Cake: found the area of a sheet cake and determined the number of slices after being cut
-Goodie bags and balloons: solved multi-step/multi-operation story problems relating to the objects in the bags and the birthday balloons

Writing Team's (2011)

progressions document.

Farmer Eli wants to plant a pumpkin patch. Each pumpkin needs a 1 foot wide by 1 foot long space to grow. Farmer Phoebe thinks the patch should be 2 feet wide and 18 feet long. If they decide to plant the pumpkin patch, how much space will they need?



Lesson 6 Work Sample: Piper decomposed 18 and applied the distributive property to determine the area.

3.0A.B: Relate multiplication to
Area & Gardens
Students showed CU and PF.
They were ready to readdress arrays and incorporate area. We used Kling and Bay-Williams (2015) ideas to relate multiplication to area and help them develop ability to decompose factors and use distributive property.
Found area of gardens by decomposing 1 factor

3.0A.3: Solve x and + word problems involving equal groups, arrays, & measurement quantities **Egg Cartons &** Multiplication Students had difficulty with array model during interview. To begin our study of multiplication, we used ideas from NCTM Illuminations lesson (Willman, 2015). Students designed rectangular egg cartons to hold 24 eggs Wrote a multiplication number sentence for each carton. Lesson 2: Egg Cartons & Division We wanted to provide students with the opportunity to relate the cartons to division and Lesson 5; develop CU of the relationship between multiplication and division. Students given story problems describing cartons with X number of rows, Egg packaging Trail Mix company had to fit exactly 24 eggs in each carton (24 eggs divided into X rows) Selected and shared multiplication and division number sentences for each carton Discussed and reasoned to determine who was correct Lesson 3: Create Your Own Division Story Problems During lesson 2, Piper had difficulty with each strand of proficiency. Individualization needed. We will revisit arrays after more understanding has been attained. Students needed work with various division contexts, not just arrays. Lamberg and Weist (2012) emphasized the importance of having students physically divide objects and using the objects to write story problems for various contexts. Each student given two bags of manipulatives & used objects to write + word problems Solved and checked their work using objects Interpreted and used MCs to Partner solved problem make trail mixes using Lesson 4: How many batches of cookies can we make? manipulatives Megan, Piper, and Trevor showed CU of division. They accurately wrote problems involving "sharing." Trevor lacked the ability to stop and reflect (AR). Elliott lacked CU. He had difficulty describing a context. More exposure to division contexts was needed. Sinnone of the students wrote "measurement" problems, we decided to use a context that measuring naturally occurs - baking cookies. Each student was assigned an ingredient and determined how many batches they could make using the amount of each ingredient

needed for 1 batch and

amount in the "Class Kitchen" 4. OA. 1: Interpret multiplicative Comparisons (MC)

Using MCs to Make

Is ging Irall Mix
Students were successful during lesson 4. Piper showed PF, SC, and CU of division. Elliott interpreted context and used division appropriately. Students were ready to learn new application of multiplication MC It was important that we used to make in the modern of multiplication make it was important that we used to make it was important that we used them develop ability to decompose factors and use distributive property.

Found area of gurdent by decomposing

#### CAPSTONE EXPERIENCE 3.OA.7: Solve 2-step word problems with


+, - , x, ÷

Lesson 7: Party Planning
We wanted to incorporate each of
the previous standards and allow
the students to use a strategy of
their choice. Previously, students
did not have to reason when to
use each operation because the
focus was either x or ÷. We
decided to have students engage
in such reasoning by solving multistep/multi-operation word
problems. We based many of our
problems on examples in the
Common Core State Standards

- Cake: found the area of a sheet cake and determined the number of slices after being cut
-Goodie bags and balloons: solved multi-step/multi-operation story problems relating to the objects in the bags and the birthday balloons

Writing Team's (2011)

progressions document.



Elliott decomposed 9 and 21, solved the partial products, and determined the area

and number of slices for the entire cake

by adding the partial products. He

demonstrated SC, PF, and CU.

#### CAPSTONE EXPERIENCE 3.OA.7: Solve 2-step word problems with

+, - , x, ÷

#### Lesson 7: Party Planning

We wanted to incorporate each of the previous standards and allow the students to use a strategy of their choice. Previously, students did not have to reason when to use each operation because the focus was either x or ÷. We decided to have students engage in such reasoning by solving multistep/multi-operation word problems. We based many of our problems on examples in the Common Core State Standards Writing Team's (2011) progressions document.

 Cake: found the area of a sheet cake and determined the number of slices after being cut -Goodie bags and balloons: solved multi-step/multi-operation story problems relating to the objects in the bags and the birthday balloons

# Post-Assessment


All students exhibited Level 2 or Level 3 reasoning. Rather than counting 1-by-1, Piper used skip counting to determine the product. Megan and Trevor's automatic fact recall seemed to be accompanied more frequently by CU as they were able to identify the connection between the fact and their visual representation. All students demonstrated stronger AR. Elliott, Megan, and Tyler reflected on their work and identified a computational error they had made. Not only did Piper show stronger AR, but she also showed SC as she was able to explain her answers using the representations she created.

### **Array Model:**

All students accurately represented the brownie pan using a picture or manipulatives. They skip counted or multiplied 2 times 12 and provided accurate reasoning.




Megan demonstrated SC, PF, and CU. Using her picture and the base 10 blocks, she said there were 24 brownies in the pan.



### **Conceptualization of Division:**

Piper showed a much stronger conceptualization of division. Without being probed to do so, she used manipulatives to solve the school field trip problem.



# Reflection/Discussion

# Most challenging standard for the students to attain: CCSSM 3.OA.7:

- Must know when to multiply/divide
- Must be able to pull from a repertoire of strategies to efficiently solve problems



#### We helped our students attain this standard by:

- Basing each lesson on a real-world context
- Posing tasks in which it would be extremely inefficient to count 1-by-1 or skip count

#### As earlier work indicates, Level 3 reasoning is the most difficulty level for students to attain.

- Initially, Elliott, Megan, and Trevor only exhibited some Level 3 reasoning.
- Piper did not use any Level 3 reasoning



By the end of our sessions, they frequently used more sophisticated strategies that invoked the distributive and associative properties. Piper demonstrated Level 3 reasoning during post interview.

#### Educators who aim to help their students attain 3.OA.7 could keep in mind:

- **Fluency is not measured by speed**, but by one's ability to apply the properties and strategies they previously learned throughout the trajectory.
- 3.OA.7 is continually developed as students advance along the portion of the trajectory we have presented
- Important for educators to help students relate multiplication to rectangular area (3.OA.B).
  - While establishing this relationship, students can develop the strategy of decomposing a factor to determine the product, a strategy that can be used in any context, not just area.

# References

Bryant, P., & Nunes, T. (Eds.). (1997). Learning and teaching mathematics: An international perspective. New York, NY: Psychology Press.

Common Core Standards Writing Team. (2011). *Progression for the common core state standards for mathematics (draft), K–5, operations and algebraic thinking*. Retrieved from http://commoncoretools.files.wordpress.com/2011/05/ccss\_progression\_cc\_oa\_k5\_2011\_05\_302.pdf

Confrey, J., Nguyen, K.H., Lee, K., Panorkou, N., Corley, A.K., & Maloney, A.P. (2012). *TurnOnCCMath.net: Learning trajectories for the K-8 Common Core Math Standards*. Retrieved from <a href="https://www.turnonccmath.net">https://www.turnonccmath.net</a>

Kling, G., & Bay-Williams, J.M. (2015). Three steps to mastering multiplication facts. *Teaching Children Mathematics*, 21(9), 548-559.

Lamberg, T., Wiest, L. R. (2012). Conceptualizing division with remainders. *Teaching Children Mathematics*, 18(7), 426-433.

National Research Council. (2001). Adding it up: helping children learn mathematics. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.

National Governors Association for Best Practices & Council of Chief State School Officers. (2010). *Common core state standards for mathematics*. Retrieved from <a href="http://www.corestandards.org/">http://www.corestandards.org/</a>.

Wallace, A. H., & Gurganus, S. P. (2005). Teaching for mastery of multiplication. Teaching Children Mathematics, 12(1), 27.

Willman, L. (2015). *Egg carton designs: Constructing arrays to begin a study of multiplication*. Retrieved from http://illuminations.nctm.org/Lesson.aspx?id=3786