

1. (10 pt.)

a)

$$E = [3 \ 3 \ 4 \ 3], C = \begin{bmatrix} 1010 \\ 0101 \\ 1100 \\ 0011 \\ 1001 \\ 0110 \end{bmatrix}, R = \begin{bmatrix} 0100 \\ 1000 \\ 0010 \\ 0100 \\ 0010 \\ 1000 \end{bmatrix}, A = [0010]$$

Sol) A = [0010] $\xrightarrow{P_3}$ [1110] $\xrightarrow{P_1}$ [2120] $\xrightarrow{P_2}$ [2221] $\xrightarrow{P_4}$ [2232] $\xrightarrow{P_5}$ [3243] $\xrightarrow{P_6}$ [3343]

b)

$$E = [3 \ 2 \ 3], C = \begin{bmatrix} 101 \\ 110 \\ 011 \\ 100 \\ 001 \end{bmatrix}, R = \begin{bmatrix} 010 \\ 101 \\ 100 \\ 010 \\ 100 \end{bmatrix}$$

Sol) A = (000)

2. (5 pt.)

- a. What are four necessary conditions for a deadlock
 1. Mutual exclusion
 2. Hold-and Wait
 3. No preemption
 4. Circular wait
- b. Four strategies for dealing with a deadlock
 1. Ignore
 2. Detection and recovery
 3. Avoidance with dynamic allocation
 4. By attacking one of necessary deadlock condition
- c. When OS detect a deadlock, what are three possible ways to recover from the deadlock?
 1. preemption
 2. roll back
 3. terminate a process one by one until break from the deadlock
- d. Why is deadlock avoidance impractical?

Deadlock avoidance requires future knowledge of resource requests, meaning the OS must know in advance

- e. Is it true deadlock prevention guarantees no deadlock?
 Yes since it break one of four necessary conditions

3. (5 pt.)
 Solution 1)

Sol)
 Attacking hold and wait,
 starvation

Solution 2)

- Sol)
 Attacking circular wait,
 If a process need two resource at a same time, this solution have problem

4. (10 pt.)

Process	Allocated			Max Need			R		
	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C
P ₀	0	1	0	7	5	3	7	4	3
P ₁	2	0	0	3	2	2	1	2	2
P ₂	3	0	2	9	0	2	6	0	0
P ₃	2	1	1	2	2	2	0	1	1
P ₄	0	0	2	4	3	3	4	3	1

A = (3, 3, 2)

- a) Will a request of (1, 0, 2) by P₁ be granted? (it is not yes/no problem)

Process	C			R			A		
	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C
P ₀	0	1	0	7	4	3	2	3	0
P ₁	3	0	2	0	2	0			
P ₂	3	0	2	6	0	0			
P ₃	2	1	1	0	1	1			
P ₄	0	0	2	4	3	1			

A = (2, 3, 0) – P₁ – (5, 3, 2) – P₃ – (7, 4, 3) – P₀ – (7, 5, 3) – P₂ – (10, 5, 5) – P₄ – (10, 5, 7)

- b) Will a request of (3, 2, 0) by P₄ be granted? (it is not yes/no problem)

Process	C			R			A		
	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C
P ₀	0	1	0	7	4	3	0	1	2
P ₁	2	0	0	1	2	2			
P ₂	3	0	2	6	0	0			
P ₃	2	1	1	0	1	1			
P ₄	3	2	2	1	1	1			

$$A = (0, 1, 2) - P_3 - (2, 2, 3) - P_1 - (4, 2, 3) - P_4 - (7, 4, 5) - P_0 - (7, 4, 5) - P_2 - (10, 5, 7)$$

c) Will a request of (3, 3, 0) by P₄ be granted? (it is not yes/no problem)

Process	C			R			A		
	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C
P ₀	0	1	0	7	2	3	0	0	2
P ₁	2	0	0	1	2	2			
P ₂	3	0	2	6	0	0			
P ₃	2	1	1	0	1	1			
P ₄	3	3	2	1	0	1			

Answer) non- of process can

5. (10 pt.)

	Allocated					Need More					Available				
	R ₁	R ₂	R ₃	R ₄	R ₅	R ₁	R ₂	R ₃	R ₄	R ₅	R ₁	R ₂	R ₃	R ₄	R ₅
P ₁	1	0	2	1	1	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	X	1	Y
P ₂	2	0	1	1	0	0	2	1	0	0					
P ₃	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	3	0	0					
P ₄	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1					

What is the minimum value of X and Y required for this to be considered a safe state? To ensure the accuracy of your answer, you should describe the logical process by which the values of X and Y are selected.

Sol) since P₁ need 3 R₅ in total minimum Y should be ≥ 2 .

since R₁=0, R₂=0, only P₄ can be selected based on A with X ≥ 1

- with X=1, Y=2 A=(0 0 1 1 2)
 after P₄, A = (0 0 1 1 2) + (1 1 1 1 0) = (1 1 2 2 2)
 after P₁, A = (1 1 2 2 2) + (1 0 2 1 1) = (2 1 4 3 3)
 after P₃, A = (2 1 4 3 3) + (1 1 0 1 0) = (3 2 4 4 3)
 after P₂, A = (3 2 4 4 3) + (2 0 1 1 0) = (5, 2 5 5 3)

6. (10 pt.)

- Which deadlock condition is removed? Hold and wait
- What is the main disadvantage? Poor resource utilization. Starvation risk, process wait too long
- Give real-world inefficiency example. Process P1 hold two resources R1 and R2 and currently only use R1. Process P2 only need R2 but need wait.